

LaSalle II Magnet Elementary School

Fulton Elementary Network 1148 N Honore St Chicago, IL 60622 ISBE ID: 150162990252955 School ID: 610520 Oracle ID: 29101



Mission Statement

The mission of LaSalle II is to implement and develop a quality educational program which enables all students to reach his or her full potential. The school administration will seek to work effectively and harmoniously with community members, parents, students and staff to provide all students with a strong foundation in literacy, mathematics and world language. The focus on world language instruction will enable students to widen their awareness and gain an understanding of people and cultures around the world.

Strategic Priorities

- 1. Increase the number of students at/above proficiency by 10% as measured on the NWEA Assessment in Reading
- 2. Increase the number of students at/above proficiency by 10% as measured on the NWEA Assessment in Math
- 3. Develop a scope and sequence for World Languages by 2013.
- 4. Develop and implement a comprehensive writing program across grade levels.
- 5. Ensure a caring, safe, and healthy environment for all staff and students

Literacy Performance Goals

School Performance Goals



Math Performance Goals



Date Stamp November 22, 2012



Continuous Improvement Work Plan 2012 - 2014



Overview

The Continuous Improvement Work Plan (CIWP) is a stream-lined, strategic planning process for schools that also meets the state and federal requirements of a school improvement plan. The CIWP uses previous goal and priority setting completed by the schools from the Scorecard metrics, School Effectiveness Framework and Theory of Action. Please see the CIWP Planning Guide at <u>www.cps.edu/CIWP</u> for detailed instructions on completing the tool.

School Name

To get started, please select your school's name from the drop down list:

LaSalle II Magnet Elementary School

Developing a CIWP Team

A CIWP team consists of 6 – 12 committed stakeholders that act as the steering committee for the entire CIWP planning process. The principal should serve as the chairperson of the CIWP Team, appointing other team members from the school and community, which can include members from the ILT and/or LSC. These CIWP Team members should have strengths in collaboration and consensus-building. While the CIWP Team needs to remain small, it should include people with a variety of perspectives.

CIWP Team

Name (Print)	Title/Relationship
Suzanne Velasquez-Sheehy	Principal
Bridget Malinowski	Assistant Principal
Leonard Becker	Parent/ Guardian
Patti Hudak	Community Member
Julie Kolkmeyer	Classroom Teacher
Candice White	Lead/ Resource Teacher
Anita Sanchez	Special Education Faculty
Miranda Dolch	Counselor/Case Manager
Margaret Heinrich	Classroom Teacher
Daniel Taff	Classroom Teacher
Cara Bucciarelli	Classroom Teacher



LaSalle II Magnet Elementary School



Elementary Goal Setting

Instructions: Your school's data is organized by Scorecard categories. Using your current performance data and your SY2012 goals, determine the SY2013 & SY2014 performance goals for each metric. Note: ISAT scores include all students in the aggregates, including English Language Learners.

Academic Achievement

Pre-K - 2nd Grade	SY2011 Score	SY2012 Goal	SY2013 Goal	SY2014 Goal		SY2011 Score	SY2012 Goal	SY2013 Goal	
Early Literacy % of students at Benchmark on DIBELS, IDEL	NDA	75.0	80.0	85.0	Early Math % of students at Benchmark on mClass	NDA	75.0	80.0	
3rd - 5th Grade									
Grade Level Performance - Reading % of students at or above grade level on Scantron/NWEA	69.9	63.0	70.5	78.0	Grade Level Performance - Math % of students at or above grade level on Scantron/NWEA	61.1	59.3	67.9	
Keeping Pace - Reading % of students making growth targets on Scantron/NWEA	82.5	65.0	70.0	75.0	Keeping Pace - Math % of students making growth targets on Scantron/NWEA	80.2	65.0	70.0	
6th - 8th Grade									
Grade Level Performance - Reading % of students at or above grade level on Scantron/NWEA	76.4	59.1	67.7	76.3	Grade Level Performance - Math % of students at or above grade level on Scantron/NWEA	61.1	52.2	63.1	
Keeping Pace - Reading % of students making growth targets on Scantron/NWEA	85.5	60.0	65.0	70.0	Keeping Pace - Math % of students making growth targets on Scantron/NWEA	70.4	60.0	65.0	
8th Grade									
Explore - Reading % of students at college readiness benchmark	NDA	60.0	70.0	80.0	Explore - Math % of students at college readiness benchmark	NDA	60.0	70.0	



LaSalle II Magnet Elementary School



Elementary Goal Setting

Instructions: Your school's data is organized by Scorecard categories. Using your current performance data and your SY2012 goals, determine the SY2013 & SY2014 performance goals for each metric. Note: ISAT scores include all students in the aggregates, including English Language Learners.

Climate & Culture

All Grades	SY2011	SY2012 Goal	SY2013 Goal	SY2014 Goal		SY2011	SY2012 Goal	SY2013 Goal	SY2014 Goal
Attendance Rate Average daily attendance rate	95.1	95.0	95.0	95.0	Misconducts Rate of Misconducts (any) per 100	5.6	5.2	4.8	4.5

State Assessment

All Grades % Meets & Exceeds	SY2011 Score	SY2012 Goal	SY2013 Goal	SY2014 Goal	All Grades % Exceeds	SY2011 Score	SY2012 Goal	SY2013 Goal	SY2014 Goal
ISAT - Reading % of students meeting or exceeding state standards	87.0	70.0	75.0	80.0	ISAT - Reading % of students exceeding state standards	38.0	25.0	30.0	35.0
ISAT - Mathematics % of students meeting or exceeding state standards	93.5	70.0	75.0	80.0	ISAT - Mathematics % of students exceeding state standards	33.8	25.0	30.0	35.0
ISAT - Science % of students meeting or exceeding state standards	80.5	70.0	75.0	80.0	ISAT - Science % of students exceeding state standards	14.9	17.0	20.0	25.0





	Typical School	Effective School	Evidence Eva	luation
	Goals and theory of action		>	4
idershi	 The school has established goals for student achievement that are aimed at making incremental growth and narrowing of achievement gaps. The school has a plan but may have too many competing priorities. 	achievement gap and ensuring college and career readiness of all students at the school, grade, and classroom levels. • The school has established a clear theory of action or	Reading and Math schoolwide goals are based on NWEA gro targets that are nationally normed. LaSalle II's goal of 70% o students meeting growth targets is higher than the expected that good schools are expected to meet. On-going data anal benchmark assessments and classroom assessments inform instruction. Flexible RIT reading groups ensure that lessons differentiated to meet the needs of all students. The Prinicip ILT meet regularly to outline Quarterly plans including next s monitoring systems.	f I 50% lysis of are pal and
M	Principal Leadership		>	4
	 Professional learning is organized through whole staff development but it is not tightly linked to what happens in teacher team meetings or 1:1 coaching cycles. Principal monitors instructional practice for teacher evaluations. School-wide or class specific vision is not consistently focused on college and career readiness Principal provides basic information for families on school events and responds to requests for information. Families and community are engaged through occasional school-wide events such as open houses or curriculum nights. 	 Principal clarifies a vision for instructional best practice, works with each staff member to determine goals and benchmarks, monitors quality and drives continuous improvement. Principal establishes and nurtures a culture of college and 	LaSalle II's eScore of 83 as measured by the 2011 My School, Voice Survey indicates that LaSalle II's leader practices share leadership, sets high goals for quallity instruction, maintain r trusting and respectful relationships, support professional advancement for faculty and staff, and manages resources for sustained program improvement. Examples include creating shared vision through family, staff, and community input (La Family surveys, teacher workgroups provide input for CWIP , informal and formal observations, on-going schoolwide professional development (New Bloom's Taxonomy and CCS:	d nutually or g a Salle II analysis





Typical School	Effective School	Evidence Evaluat
Teacher Leadership		> 4
 A core group of teachers performs nearly all leadership duties in the school. A few voices tend to contribute to the majority of decision-making at the ILT and teacher team levels. Teacher learning and expertise is inconsistently shared after engagement in professional learning activities. 	 Each teacher is invested in the success of the school through leadership in one or more areas, including (but not limited to): -ILT membership -Grade/Course team lead - RtI team -Committee chair or membership -Mentor teacher -Curriculum team -Coach -Family liaison -Data team -Bilingual lead -SIPAAA/CWIP team -Union representative -Grant writer Each teacher has equity of voice in grade/course, ILT and whole staff meetings Each teacher is encouraged to share learning about effective practice from PD or visits to other schools 	ILT Teams, RTI team, mentor teachers, data team, bilingual lead teacher, CWIP team, union representative, grant writer All teach and ESP participate in at least one committee including Young Authors, Oratory Contest, Spelling Bee, Geography Bee, Science Literacy Night, Student Council. All teachers provide feedback for evidence portion of CIWP.





Typical School	Effective School	Evidence Evaluati
Instructional Leadership Team (ILT)		> 3
 expertise, like special education, bilingual education or counseling. The ILT splits time and focus between improving teaching and learning and solving day-to-day operational concerns. The ILT organizes some whole staff professional development activities. Development at the teacher team or teacher level is not coordinated by the ILT. ILT decision-making is carried out in isolation, or without a clear process for staff-wide engagement. 	 knowledge and expertise needed to make decisions for all students and staff. The ILT leads the work of improving teaching and learning school-wide The ILT leads the school's approach to professional development – whole staff PD, teacher teams, and coaching. The ILT facilitates two-way communication and engages all staff in participating in decision-making that advances the 	Regular data meetings to analyze data and adjust flexible instructional groups
Monitoring and adjusting		> 4
	 The school has a systematic approach to analyzing data relative to the school's theory of action on an ongoing basis—at the school level, department/grade level, and classroom level—in order to make adjustments to their focus and to target support for particular teachers and students. 	Principal and teachers meet during extended day on a quarterly be to analyze student data including benchmark assessments and use the information for forming flexible groups, designing differentiat lessons, and providing tiered interventions. Grade level teachers have a weekly common planning time and meet with Erickson consultant to target specific areas of concern





	Typical School	Effective School	Evidence	Evaluation
	Curriculum		>	4
I 2: Core Instructio	 Curricular pacing/scope and sequence is most often determined by the pacing set forth in instructional materials or by an individual teacher. Each teacher develops his/her own units of instruction or follows what is suggested by the pacing provided in instructional materials. Text used for instruction exposes some students to grade-appropriate complexity and is heavily focused on fiction. Short- and long-term plans do not consistently differentiate by learner need. 	 standards teachers should teach and in what order in core subject areas. Each grade level or course team develops/uses common units of instruction aligned to the standards. Text used for instruction exposes all students to a grade-appropriate level of complexity and informational texts to at least the CCSS-recommended levels by grade band. 	score of 85 which indicates LaSAlle II has strong program Curriculum instruction and learning materials are well co across grade levels. Consistency in curriculum instructio teachers in the same grade level. Began curriculum mapping in common core in Reading, writing	o coherence. bordinated in among math, and
	Instructional materials		>	4
() 5 6	 Core instructional materials vary between teachers of the same grade/course or are focused mainly on a single textbook with little exposure to standards- aligned supplemental materials. Instructional materials support a general curriculum with little differentiation for student learning need. 	materials that are aligned with standards. Instructional materials are supportive of students with	Instructional materials include: Singapore Math, Envision Math, Reading Street, Interact Leveled readers, RAZ Kids, SRA Kits, Time Magazine , Sch Magazinec classroom libraries Math Manipulatives Manipulatives and adaptive tech for students with disab	olastic
		our school in this area, we encourage schools to begin invento is not a comprehensive inventory of your school's instruction e State Standards in the upcoming school year.		





Typical School	Effective School	Evidence	Evaluation
Assessment		>	3
 School wide data is available to the ILT. Teacher team or classroom data is not always available when teachers need it—or teachers inconsistently bring it to teacher team meetings. Each grade level or course team administers the required district assessments but there may be gaps in the kind of assessment tools available to them. Assessments are focused on a particular form of assessment and may not adequately provide a complete picture of student learning. Most assessments are designed to be identical for all students, without accommodation for learner need. 	organized and available to all who need it immediately after each assessment. • Each grade level or course team uses a comprehensive set of assessments – screening, diagnostic, benchmark, formative, and summative – to monitor student learning on a frequent basis. • Assessment methods (e.g., student work, selected response, constructed response, performance task) are aligned with the standard(s) being assessed (e.g., knowledge mastery, reasoning proficiency, performance skills, ability to create products). • Assessment accommodations and modifications are in place to ensure that students with disabilities and ELLs are	Unit tests Monthly constructed responses in reading and math Students with disabilities receive appropriate accommo- modifications in testing situations Schoolwide data analysis done with entire staff Journals, portfolios used to monitor growth ESI-R used to screen for at-risk Pre-K students Pre-K for All uses TeachingStrategies.net to observe stud	dents and





Typical School	Effective School	Evidence Evalu	ation
Instruction		> 3	3
 align to standards. Questioning is more heavily aimed at assessing basic student understanding and comprehension. Sequencing of lessons in most classes is primarily driven by the pacing suggested in instructional materials. Instruction is most often delivered whole-group with few opportunities for scaffolding learning or the level of rigor is not consistently high. Formative assessment during instruction is used occasionally or inconsistently between teachers. 	 standards-based learning objective, directions and procedures, as well as the relevance of the learning. , Each teacher uses low- and high-level questioning techniques that promote student thinking and understanding. Each teacher purposefully sequences and aligns standards- based objectives to build towards deep understanding and mastery of the standards. Each teacher scaffolds instruction to ensure all students, including students with disabilities and English language learners access complex texts and engage in complex tasks. 	According the 2011 My School, My Voice Survey, LaSalle II rec an M score of 86 for math instruction, which means we have si math instruction; we received an M score of 73 in English instruction, which means we have a strong English instruction; received an mScore of 64 in Academic Press, which means we strong Academic Press; and an mScore of 85 for Program Cohe which means we have strong Program Coherence. Teachers communicate with students learning objectives, procedures, e Lessons and instruction are aligned to state standards. Teacher visuals and modeling to facilitate instruction. Teachers provide parents and students with rubrics for assignments. HET, Kagan strategies and Bloom's taxonomy utilized in classrooms daily. U Book-It, Raz Kids, IXL programs to differentiate instruction.	trong we have erence, clearly tc. rs use e





Typical School	Effective School	Evidence	Evaluation
Intervention		>	3
• Decision-making about how to determine which students are in need of intervention, what interventions they receive and how to determine the success of interventions is not regularly monitored. The intervention options are limited (sometimes one- size-fits-all), making it difficult to find a targeted solution to address a particular student's needs. Intervention monitoring and adjustments are left to teacher discretion without school-wide systems.	 screening assessments to identify students in need of academic intervention. The school has a systematic approach to administering diagnostic assessments to identify particular skills gaps. Interventions at the elementary level include in-class, small group instruction, push-in support provided by specialists, one on one support and additional supports outside of the classroom. Interventions at the secondary school level include small group instruction duthe blacks in literacy and 	RIT reading groups re-evaluated and readjusted a NWEA testing session Small group reading and math groups Push-in support from special education teachers FastForward, Raz Kids, IXL software programs pro additional support in math and reading After school study skills program for underachiev students in grades 6-8 - Students re-evaluated ev weeks After School All Stars Program for underachieving	ovide ring rery two

	Whole staff professional development		> 4
50	 Whole staff professional development occurs 	 The school has a year-long, focused plan for whole staff 	All teachers participate in New Bloom's Taxonomy pd activities that
.⊨I	regularly but is not tightly aligned to the school's	professional development aligned to school-wide priorities	are aligned with the CCSS. The Erickson Institute Coach provides
	priorities.	and growth goals.	professional development for teachers in grades 3-pre-k and
ee	Quality, effectiveness or relevance of professional	 The school has a method for continually monitoring the 	facilitates the schoolwide pd activities. All teachers have a weekly
	development is not monitored.	effectiveness of all professional development (including	common planning period and are given self-directed time on staff
Ja		coaching and teacher collaboration).	development days to collaborate with their grade level partners (see
ō		 School-wide structures ensure that professional 	agendas and sign it sheets).
SSI		development is ongoing, job-embedded and relevant to	
ję		teachers.	
ō			
P			





	Typical School	Effective School	Evidence Evaluation			
ä	Grade-level and/or course teams		> 3			
DIMENS	 Teachers meet regularly but it is focused on a mix of activities—planning, professional development, and data analysis—that may change from week to week. Teachers do not have a regular opportunity to discuss progress monitoring data to track effectiveness of student intervention. Ownership for student learning results lies primarily with individual teachers. Planning typically takes place with general education teachers only. Special education, bilingual or other specialists typically plan and meet separately or only join the group occasionally. There are meeting agendas, but no clear protocols or norms for discussion. 	 Teachers collaborate in regular cycles: quarterly for long-term unit planning, weekly to analyze formative assessment data and plan weekly instruction. Teachers and specialists meet approximately every six weeks to discuss progress-monitoring data for students receiving intervention. Teacher teams share ownership for results in student learning. Teams are inclusive of general education, special education, bilingual teachers and other specialists. Teams are supported by an ILT member, team leader, or "expert", as appropriate. Teachers have protocols or processes in place for team collaboration. 				
	Instructional coaching	I	> 4			
	 Coaching typically takes place through informal associations or is only focused on a smaller group of teachers. Formal support for new teachers comes from district-sponsored induction. Professional development decisions are not systematized and left to teacher initiative/discretion. Teachers occasionally receive quality feedback to support individual growth. Peer observation and cross-classroom visitation happens occasionally, but not as an integral part of the school's plan for professional learning. 	 Every school has a coaching plan that identifies teacher needs, who provides the coaching, and how frequently. New teachers are provided with effective induction support. Teachers have individual professional development plans tailored to their needs. Teachers consistently receive quality feedback that supports their individual growth. Peer coaching and cross classroom visitation is also used as a form of coaching. 	Teachers have several options for coaching opportunities. The Erickson coach provides student/teacher instructional support, new teachers participate in the CPS New Teachers Induction Program, and seminar fees and substitute funds are available for teachers to attend workshops that are designed to meet their needs. Teachers will document professional development goals during the 2012-2013 school year.			





	Typical School	Effective School	Evidence E	valuation
	High expectations & College-going culture		>	3
: Climate and Culture	students to aspire to college and career ready standards, or expectations are only reinforced for some students.	students to aspire to college and career-ready standards.	High expectations communicated to students and parent Student Council Participation in My School, My Voice Survey	s
4	Relationships		>	4
DIMENSION	 special education classroom with few opportunities to interact with peers. Student home language and culture is often overlooked. 	 Patterns of interactions, both between adults and students and among students, are respectful, with appropriate, fair responses to disrespectful behavior Students with disabilities are engaged in the school 	Individual tutoring available to students in need	her and special ns with nt with
	Behavior& Safety		>	3
	school wide norms.		According the 2011 My School, My Voice Survey, LaSalle an M score of 66, which means we have a strong safety so LaSalle II family survey % indicates the school has a war welcoming environment. Anti-bullying programs, new student/new parent orientativity wide assembly, behavior plan for some students (not on I classroom mgt. programs include incentives for positive to parent run Kiss-N-Go program	ore. m, tion, school EP's), pehavior,





Evidence Ev	aluation
>	4
where teachers explain their expectati s. LSC and PTO data presentations. Up ing. 1 My School, My Voice survey, LaSalle cans LaSalle II has a strong OUtreach to ate that is welcoming and communica tures), use of agenda book tion rate for report card pick-up	per grade has an M Parents,
>	3
sent home y nights	
>	4
icates a strong relationship with famil munity. There is a strong outreach to p rust.According to the 2011 My School, n M score of 75 which means LaSalle II t trust. Teachers view parents as partr arning. Parents volunteer to judge scie ittee provides speakers for students (e ness fair, provide snacks for ISAT, esta	ies and barents My Voice has ners in ence ex. dental), ablished
	assroom web page s sent home cy nights ents and students .1 My School, My Voice survey, LaSalle dicates a strong relationship with famil munity. There is a strong outreach to p rust.According to the 2011 My School, n M score of 75 which means LaSalle II nt trust. Teachers view parents as partr arning. Parents volunteer to judge scie littee provides speakers for students (e lness fair, provide snacks for ISAT, esta establish sibling language lottery guid





	Typical School	Effective School	Evidence Ev	valuation			
	Specialized support		>	3			
	 School provides required services to students within the school building/typical school hours. 	 School staff conducts intensive outreach to families in need of specialized support through home visits and collaboration with social services agencies. 	SASS, School refers students to outside tutoring program Chicago), home visits to improve attendance				
	College & Career Exploration and election		>	2			
Supports	 Information about college or career choices is provided. 	• The school provides early and ongoing exposure to experiences and information necessary to make informed decisions when selecting a college or career that connects to academic preparation and future aspirations.	6-8 grade high school night for students and parents Counselor assists students with high school applications Counselor provides students with information on selective enrollment , specialty , and neighborhood high schools Although we have a strong program for students transition				
っつ	Academic Planning		>	3			
and Career R	• The school encourages high performing students to plan on taking advanced courses.	 The school provides support for student planning, preparation, participation, and performance in their college and career aspirations and goals through a rigorous academic program and access to information and opportunities. (HS only) The school regularly evaluates rigorous course-taking and performance patterns (e.g., AP) and removes barriers to access. 	Parent/student meetings about high school requirements expectations Talk to students about Explore - pathway to ACT All 8th grade students take algebra	and			
и П	Enrichment & Extracurricular Engagement		>	4			
	• Extracurricular activities exist but may be limited in scope or students may not be purposefully involved in activities that align with their strengths and needs.	extracurricular and enrichment opportunities that build	After school enrichment program (JCC and All Stars) Resto Justice Program, chemistry program, Fast Forward (adapti technology), study skills				





	Typical School	Typical School Effective School					
0	College & Career Assessments		>	3			
DIMENS	 Students do not participate in college and career ready assessments 	 The school promotes preparation, participation, and performance in college and career assessments. 	Explore, NWEA benchmark assessments, quarterly asse	essments			
	College & Career Admissions and Affordability		>				
	 Students in 11th and 12th grade are provided information on college options , costs and financial aid. 	• The school provides students and families with comprehensive information about college options and costs (HS only) The school ensures that students and families have an early and ongoing understanding of the college and career application and admission processes, including information on financial aid and scholarship eligibility.	N.A.				
	Transitions	·	>	4			
	 Transitions between key grades provide families with the required minimum paperwork/information. 	 The school works to ensure effective transitions—into Kindergarten, at each "benchmark" grade, and from 8th to 9th. (HS only) The school connects students to school and community resources to help them overcome barriers and ensure the successful transition from high school to college. 	6-8 departmental Students transition classes for walking reading, languag Kindergarten - orientation for parents	jes			





Typical School	Effective School	Evidence Evaluatio
Use of Discretionary Resources		> 4
 School discretionary funding is inconsistently aligned to identified needs and priorities. Outside funding or community partnerships are primarily limited to opportunities that present themselves to the school. Funding of non-priority initiatives is common throughout the year. 	 School allocates discretionary spending to align with identified needs and strategic priorities. School actively identifies and pursues opportunities to for outside funding or community partnerships to help meet student and staff needs. School maintains focus on use of resources for the student achievement growth necessary for every student to graduate college and career ready. 	Funds allocated for differentiated mtls, software (IXL, RAZ Kids, FastForward, Brain Pop), resource staff(art, reading pull out), professional development (consultant, substitutes for P.D. and teacher workshops) PTO provides funds for enrichment activities IPADS
Building a Team		> 4
 Hiring is conducted after a vacancy or expected vacancy is identified. All or nearly all applicants have little to no prior connection to the school. Interviews typically consist of an interview with the principal or a team from the school, but there are no opportunities to demonstrate knowledge or skill in the classroom. Grade/course teams are not intentionally designed. 	 Hiring is conducted after an assessment of student need, staff capacity and scheduling priorities. School actively works to build a pool of potential staff members through internships and part-time work. A multistep interview process includes a protocol for questioning and classroom lesson demonstrations to assess candidate expertise, philosophy and commitment. Grade/course teams are assembled to include the needed combination of knowledge and expertise. 	Recent hires hold multiple endorsements Interview team assesses potential candidates Multistep interview process includes interview, writing prompt, classroom observation, reference checks Use of HR hiring tool Teachers are part of the interview team
Use of Time		> 3
 School schedule is designed based on number of minutes per subject or course. Teacher collaboration time is limited or occurs only before/after school. Intervention for struggling students happens at the discretion/initiative of individual teachers, during core courses. 	 School designs a "right fit" schedule based on student needs and school-wide growth goals. The school schedule allows for regular, meaningful collaboration in teacher teams. Struggling students receive structured intervention in dedicated blocks. 	3-5 p.m. data meetings for collaboration among teachers Collaborative grade level meetings with Erickson consultant Because of needing to schedule daily language classes, the schedule was very challenging. Longer day schedule will offer more opportunities for flexible scheduling Struggling students - reading pullout (Schiff), RIT reading
	Date Stamp November 22, 2012	



LaSalle II Magnet Elementary School



Mission & Strategic Priorities

Instructions: Write in your Mission Statement. Using your key levers from the Theory of Action, develop 3 - 5 strategic priorities you will focus on over the next two years. Provide a Rationale using these guiding questions: What data (student achievement, school effectiveness framework, etc.) did you use to determine the priority? How does this priority impact instruction? How does this priority help you to achieve your goals? **Tip: When entering text, press Alt+Enter to start a new paragraph.**

Mission Statement

The mission of LaSalle II is to implement and develop a quality educational program which enables all students to reach his or her full potential. The school administration will seek to work effectively and harmoniously with community members, parents, students and staff to provide all students with a strong foundation in literacy, mathematics and world language. The focus on world language instruction will enable students to widen their awareness and gain an understanding of people and cultures around the world.

Strategic Priorities

#	Priority Description : Write in the description of your priority.	Rationale: Write in your rationale (see instructions for guiding questions).
1	Increase the number of students at/above proficiency by 10% as measured on the NWEA Assessment in Reading	In September of 2011, our population in grades 3-8 doubled as a result of school consolidation. Many of the new incoming students were performing below level as measured on ISAT and NWEA assessments. This goal is based on a long-term goal of having 85% of the students at/above level in Reading by 2014.
2	Increase the number of students at/above proficiency by 10% as measured on the NWEA Assessment in Math	In September of 2011, our population in grades 3-8 doubled as a result of school consolidation. Many of the new incoming students were performing below level as measured on ISAT and NWEA assessments. This goal is based on a long-term goal of having 85% of the students at/above level in Math by 2014.
3	Develop a scope and sequence for World Languages by 2013.	All students study a foreign language beginning in kindergarten. It is necessary to develop a scope and sequence that is aligned with the Common Core State Standards and appropriate benchmark assessments to measure language proficiency and the effectiveness of the program.
4	Develop and implement a comprehensive writing program across grade levels.	Since writing crosses all content areas, it is essential that students be able to communicate via writing clearly and effectively.
5	Ensure a caring, safe, and healthy environment for all staff and students	A positive environment contributes to increased student achievement, supports learning, and contributes to student and staff health by providing a safe, healthy environment.



LaSalle II Magnet Elementary School

Monitoring



Strategic Priority 1

Instructions: Develop milestones for each strategic priority that you will implement. Milestones are significant steps that a school must accomplish in the implementation of the strategic priority. Milestones are SMART (Specific, Measurable, Attainable, Realistic, and Time-bound). Indicate the category and group of students to which the milestone applies, the responsible party and the timeline. You will update the status and next steps throughout the year as part of your continuous improvement cycle.

Strategic Priority Description	Rationale
	In September of 2011, our population in grades 3-8 doubled as a result of school consolidation. Many of the new incoming students were performing below level as measured on ISAT and NWEA assessments. This goal is based on a long-term goal of having 85% of the students at/above level in Reading by 2014.

Action Plan

Milestones	Category	Target Group	Responsible Party	Start	Completed	Status	Comments & Next Steps
Teachers will design lesson plans and Units based on the new Bloom's Taxonomy and aligned with the Common Core State Standards.	Instruction	All	Teachers, Consultant, Administration	Summer 2012	On-going		
Teachers will develop performance based tasks and assessments.	Instruction	All	Teachers, Consultant, Administration	Summer 2012	On-going		
Teachers will participate in professional development for developing Enduring Understandings and Essential Questions	Professional Development	Not Applicable	Administration, ILT Team	Summer 2012	On-going		
Teachers will implement Kagan and HET strategies for more effective and engaging teaching and learning.	Instruction	All	Teachers	On-going	On-going		
Students and parents will review NWEA and ISAT scores and develop a target goal for reading	Parental Involvement	All	Students, Mentors, Parents	Quarter 1	On-going		
Teachers will analyze NWEA data to form RIT Band Reading Groups which are organized according to students' reading levels and needs	Instruction	All	Teachers	Quarter 1	On-going		
Parents will check agenda books, school web pages to ensure assignments are completed	Parental Involvement	All	Parents	Quarter 1	On-going		
As a result of the longer school day, students will participate in activities that reflect increased rigor and engagement	Instruction	All	Students, Teachers	Quarter 1	On-going		
Identify students and Implement Tier 1 and Tier 2 interventions	Instruction	All	Teachers, RTI Team	Quarter 1	On-going		
Assess classroom resources and purchase needed materials to implement common core instruction	Instructional Materials	All	Administration, Teachers	Summer 2012	On-going		
Hire tutors to provide leveled literacy intervention	Instruction	All	Administration	Quarter 1	Summer 2013		



LaSalle II Magnet Elementary School



Strategic Priority 1						
Reading specialist will collaborate with teachers to model effective use of the block schedule and to design rigorous, engaging lessons	Instruction	All	Reading Specialist, Teachers	Summer 2012	On-going	
Teachers will utilize adaptive technology, IPADS, Promethean Boards, and computers to enhance reading and literacy skills	Equipment/ Technology	All	Teachers, Technology Coordinator	Quarter 1	On-going	
Special education teachers will meet with regular education teachers to ensure accommodatins and modifications are implemented in order to meet the needs of this diverse group of students	ILT/ Teacher Teams	Students With Disabilities	Teachers, Case Manager	Summer 2012	On-going	
ELL and regular education teachers will meet to ensure that ELL instruction is aligned with classroom instruction	Instruction	English Language Learners	Teachers, Bilingual Teacher/Coordinator	Summer 2012	On-going	
Teachers will use the recommended guidelines for fiction/non-fiction text	Instruction	All	Administration, Teachers	Quarter 1	On-going	



LaSalle II Magnet Elementary School

Monitoring



Strategic Priority 2

Instructions: Develop milestones for each strategic priority that you will implement. Milestones are significant steps that a school must accomplish in the implementation of the strategic priority. Milestones are SMART (Specific, Measurable, Attainable, Realistic, and Time-bound). Indicate the category and group of students to which the milestone applies, the responsible party and the timeline. You will update the status and next steps throughout the year as part of your continuous improvement cycle.

Strategic Priority Description	Rationale
	In September of 2011, our population in grades 3-8 doubled as a result of school consolidation. Many of the new incoming students were performing below level as measured on ISAT and NWEA assessments. This goal is based on a long-term goal of having 85% of the students at/above level in Math by 2014.

Action Plan

Milestones	Category	Target Group	Responsible Party	Start	Completed	Status	Comments & Next Steps
Teachers will design lesson plans and Units based on the			Teachers,				
new Bloom's Taxonomy and aligned with the Common	Instruction	All	Consultant,	Summer 2012	On-going		
Core State Standards.			Administration				
			Teachers,				
Teachers will develop performance based tasks and	Instruction	All	Consultant,	Summer 2012	On-going		
assessments.			Administration		0 0		
Teachers will participate in professional development for developing Enduring Understandings and Essential Questions	Professional Development	Not Applicable	Administration, ILT Team	Summer 2012	On-going		
Teachers will implement Kagan and HET strategies for more effective and engaging teaching and learning.	Instruction	All	Teachers	On-going	On-going		
Students and parents will review NWEA and ISAT scores	Parental		Students, Mentors,	0	a i		
and develop a target goal for math	Involvement	All	Parents	Quarter 1	On-going		
Teachers will analyze NWEA data to form small math							
groups which are organized according to math levels and	Instruction	All	Teachers	Quarter 1	On-going		
needs							
Parents will check agenda books, school web pages to	Parental	All	Derente	Quartar 1	On sains		
ensure assignments are completed	Involvement	All	Parents	Quarter 1	On-going		
As a result of the longer school day, students will							
participate in activities that reflect increased rigor and	Instruction	All	Students, Teachers	Quarter 1	On-going		
engagement							
Students will communicate, both orally and written, using	la staveti sa	All	Chudanta Tasahana	Owenter 1			
correct mathematical terms and language	Instruction	All	Students, Teachers	Quarter 1	On-going		
Identify students and Implement Tier 1 and Tier 2	Instruction	All	Toochors DTI Toom	Quarter 1	On going		
interventions	instruction	All	Teachers, RTI Team	Quarter 1	On-going		
Assess classroom resources and purchase needed materials	Instructional	All	Administration,	Summer 2012	On going		
to implement common core instruction	Materials	All	Teachers	Juillier 2012	On-going		



LaSalle II Magnet Elementary School



Strategic Priority 2						
Hire tutors to provide math intervention	Instruction	All	Administration	Quarter 1	Summer 2013	
Teacherswill collaborate to share strategies on the effective use of the block schedule and to design rigorous, engaging lessons	Instruction	All	ILT Team, Teachers	Summer 2012	On-going	
Teachers will utilize adaptive technology, IPADS, Promethean Boards, and computers to enhance math skills	Equipment/ Technology	All	Teachers, Technology Coordinator	Quarter 1	On-going	
Special education teachers will meet with regular education teachers to ensure accommodatins and modifications are implemented in order to meet the needs of this diverse group of students	ILT/ Teacher Teams	Students With Disabilities	Teachers, Case Manager	Summer 2012	On-going	
ELL and regular education teachers will meet to ensure that ELL instruction is aligned with classroom instruction	Instruction	English Language Learners	Teachers, Bilingual Teacher/Coordinator	Summer 2012	On-going	



LaSalle II Magnet Elementary School

Monitoring



Strategic Priority 3

Instructions: Develop milestones for each strategic priority that you will implement. Milestones are significant steps that a school must accomplish in the implementation of the strategic priority. Milestones are SMART (Specific, Measurable, Attainable, Realistic, and Time-bound). Indicate the category and group of students to which the milestone applies, the responsible party and the timeline. You will update the status and next steps throughout the year as part of your continuous improvement cycle.

Strategic Priority Description	Rationale
Develop a scope and sequence for World Languages by 2013.	All students study a foreign language beginning in kindergarten. It is necessary to develop a scope and sequence
	that is aligned with the Common Core State Standards and appropriate benchmark assessments to measure
	language proficiency and the effectiveness of the program.

Action Plan

Responsible Target Milestones Category Start Completed Status **Comments & Next Steps** Party Group Language teachers will familiarize themselves with the new Not Applicable WL Teachers and WL CPS Performance Tasks (in development) and the ACTFL ILT/ Teacher Quarter 1 On-going Standards of the 21st Century and how they overlap wit Teams Coordinator the Common Core Standards for English. Language Teachers will meet twice a month to collaborate ILT/ Teacher WL Teachers and WL Not Applicable Quarter 1 On-going Coordinator about the scope and sequence. Teams Language Teachers will turn in scope and sequence for ILT/ Teacher WL Teachers and WL Not Applicable Quarter 1 Quarter 4 each grade level on a monthly basis. Teams Coordinator

Version 03/12





Improvement work Plan								
Strategic Priority 3								
5 7								



LaSalle II Magnet Elementary School



Strategic Priority 4

Instructions: Develop milestones for each strategic priority that you will implement. Milestones are significant steps that a school must accomplish in the implementation of the strategic priority. Milestones are SMART (Specific, Measurable, Attainable, Realistic, and Time-bound). Indicate the category and group of students to which the milestone applies, the responsible party and the timeline. You will update the status and next steps throughout the year as part of your continuous improvement cycle.

Strategic Priority Description	Rationale
Develop and implement a comprehensive writing program across grade levels.	Since writing crosses all content areas, it is essential that students be able to communicate via writing clearly and effectively.

Action Plan

Milestones	Category	Target Group	Responsible Party	Start	Completed	Status	Comments & Next Steps
Teachers will utilize the daily writing period to introduce students to the different purposes of writing and the skills associated with each	Instruction	All	Teachers, Students	Quarter 1	On-going		
Content area teachers will utilize writing journals to reinforce daily lessons and improve content vocabulary	Instruction	All	Teachers, Students	Quarter 1	On-going		
All students in Grades 2 - 8 will participate in the local Young Authors Competition to support and recognize student achievement in writing	Instruction	All	Teachers, Students	Quarter 1	On-going		
Students will be able to self assess and revise their writing using a given rubric	Instruction	All	Teachers, Students	Quarter 1	On-going		
Students will know the correct format and conventions for writing a research paper, including works cited	Instruction	All	Teachers, Reading Specialist	Quarter 1	On-going		
Teachers in all content areas will assign writing activities	Instruction	All	Teachers, ILT Team	Quarter 1	On-going		
Teachers will participate in Writing professional development activities.	Professional Development	All	Teachers	Summer 2012	On-going		

Monitoring



LaSalle II Magnet Elementary School



Strategic Priority 4				



LaSalle II Magnet Elementary School

Monitoring



Strategic Priority 5

Instructions: Develop milestones for each strategic priority that you will implement. Milestones are significant steps that a school must accomplish in the implementation of the strategic priority. Milestones are SMART (Specific, Measurable, Attainable, Realistic, and Time-bound). Indicate the category and group of students to which the milestone applies, the responsible party and the timeline. You will update the status and next steps throughout the year as part of your continuous improvement cycle.

Strategic Priority Description	Rationale
	A positive environment contributes to increased student achievement, supports learning, and contributes to student and staff health by providing a safe, healthy environment.

Action Plan

Responsible Target Milestones Category Start Completed Status **Comments & Next Steps** Group Party Employ a Community School Representative to work with parents, teachers, students, community members, and admininstration in areas including attendance, Other All Administration, Quarter 1 On-going recess/lunch supervision, student concerns, anti-bullying, and other issues related to school climate and culture. Empower students by involving them in planning, creating, Administration, Quarter 1 Instruction All On-going and sustaining a school culture of safety and respect Teachers Teachers will include activities in their lesson plans that Instruction All Teachers. PTO Quarter 1 On-going emphasize healthy habits and life long wellness Utilize community resources to provide workshops, activities that provide information on health and well being Teachers, Other All Quarter 1 On-going (i.e. dental hygiene, internet safety, anti-drug presentation, Administration etc.) Administration, Continue school anti-bullying campaign All Quarter 1 On-going Instruction Teachers Work with community and PTO to redesign and upgrade Administration, PTO, the existing playground and surroundings to include areas Other All Community. Summer 2012 On-going for physical activities, outdoor classrooms, gardens, and Teachers green spaces Administration will work with the Wellness Committee to Parental All Administration, PTO Summer 2012 On-going design activities that positively impact one's health Involvement



LaSalle II Magnet Elementary School



Strategic Priority 5								