

Garfield-Humboldt Elementary Network

412 S Keeler Ave Chicago, IL 60624

ISBE ID: 150162990252352

School ID: 610293 Oracle ID: 26351



Mission Statement

Genevieve Melody Elementary School aspires to meet the academic, social, and emotional needs of every student student preparing to enter a 21st century global society. We commit our efforts to developing literate, self-disciplined, socially-conscious, life-long learners who are high-school ready and preparing to becoming significant contributers to society.

Strategic Priorities

- 1. Develop and implement sytematic RTI Interventions in reading and math for students flagged using district level and school based screening assessments (NWEA, SRI, MCLASS Reading & Math etc.)
- 2. Develop and refine teacher practice in the use of differientiated small grouping to design and deliver mini-lessons based on student level and classroom assessment data.
- 3. Implement and refine the delivery of literacy instruction aligned to common core stanndards for grades k-8.
- 4. Develop an attendance plan to promote increased students attendance to decrease the percentage of chronically truant students.

School Performance Goals

Math Performance Goals Literacy Performance Goals ■ SY2011 ■ SY2012 ■ SY2013 ■ SY2014 SY2011 SY2012 SY2013 SY2014 100% 100% 90% 90% 66.0 70.0 75.0 80% 80% 70.0 70% 70% 60.0 59.0 56.0 56.0 60% 60% 50.0 49.0 46.0 45.0 50% 42.0 50% 41.0 39.0 36.0 36.0 35.0 40% 32.0 40% 31.0 29.6 26.9 26.0 30% 22.0 30% 21.0 16.0 12.5 20% 20% 11.1 6.3 10% 10% 0% Gr3-5 Math Early Literacy Gr3-5 Reading **Gr6-8 Reading Gr8 Explore** Early Math Gr6-8 Math **Gr8 Explore**



Continuous Improvement Work Plan 2012 - 2014



Overview

The Continuous Improvement Work Plan (CIWP) is a stream-lined, strategic planning process for schools that also meets the state and federal requirements of a school improvement plan. The CIWP uses previous goal and priority setting completed by the schools from the Scorecard metrics, School Effectiveness Framework and Theory of Action. Please see the CIWP Planning Guide at www.cps.edu/CIWP for detailed instructions on completing the tool.

School Name	
To get started, please select your school's name from the drop down list:	Genevieve Melody Elementary School

Developing a CIWP Team

A CIWP team consists of 6 – 12 committed stakeholders that act as the steering committee for the entire CIWP planning process. The principal should serve as the chairperson of the CIWP Team, appointing other team members from the school and community, which can include members from the ILT and/or LSC. These CIWP Team members should have strengths in collaboration and consensus-building. While the CIWP Team needs to remain small, it should include people with a variety of perspectives.

CIWP Team	
Name (Print)	Title/Relationship
Nancy Hanks	Principal
Tifffany Tillman	Assistant Principal
Mamie Pace	Lead/ Resource Teacher
Kristy Brooks	Counselor/Case Manager
Natasha Gasich	LSC Member
Becky Chiusolo	Classroom Teacher
Bridgette Louis	Other
Rosa Thomas	Parent/ Guardian





Elementary Goal Setting

Instructions: Your school's data is organized by Scorecard categories. Using your current performance data and your SY2012 goals, determine the SY2013 & SY2014 performance goals for each metric. Note: ISAT scores include all students in the aggregates, including English Language Learners.

Academic Achievement

Pre-K - 2nd Grade	SY2011 Score	SY2012 Goal	SY2013 Goal	SY2014 Goal		SY2011 Score	SY2012 Goal	SY2013 Goal	S
Early Literacy % of students at Benchmark on DIBELS, IDEL	56.0	66.0	70.0	75.0	Early Math % of students at Benchmark on mClass	NDA	50.0	60.0	
3rd - 5th Grade									
Grade Level Performance - Reading % of students at or above grade level on Scantron/NWEA	19.2	25.0	35.0	45.0	Grade Level Performance - Math % of students at or above grade level on Scantron/NWEA	11.1	21.0	31.0	4
Keeping Pace - Reading % of students making growth targets on Scantron/NWEA	43.3	53.0	63.0	73.0	Keeping Pace - Math % of students making growth targets on Scantron/NWEA	37.1	47.0	57.0	(
6th - 8th Grade									
Grade Level Performance - Reading % of students at or above grade level on Scantron/NWEA	29.6	39.0	49.0	59.0	Grade Level Performance - Math % of students at or above grade level on Scantron/NWEA	26.9	36.0	46.0	5
Keeping Pace - Reading % of students making growth targets on Scantron/NWEA	76.9	86.0	90.0	93.0	Keeping Pace - Math % of students making growth targets on Scantron/NWEA	55.8	65.0	75.0	8
8th Grade									
Explore - Reading % of students at college readiness benchmark	12.5	22.0	32.0	42.0	Explore - Math % of students at college readiness benchmark	6.3	16.0	26.0	3

Version 03/12 Date Stamp November 22, 2012 ES Goals Page 3 of 28





Elementary Goal Setting

Instructions: Your school's data is organized by Scorecard categories. Using your current performance data and your SY2012 goals, determine the SY2013 & SY2014 performance goals for each metric. Note: ISAT scores include all students in the aggregates, including English Language Learners.

Climate & Culture

All Grades	SY2011	SY2012 Goal	SY2013 Goal	SY2014 Goal		SY2011	SY2012 Goal	SY2013 Goal	SY2014 Goal
Attendance Rate Average daily attendance rate	92.1	93.0	94.0	95.0	Misconducts Rate of Misconducts (any) per 100	26.0	24.0	20.0	17.0

State Assessment

II Grades Meets & Exceeds	SY2011 Score	SY2012 Goal	SY2013 Goal	SY2014 Goal	All Grades % Exceeds	SY2011 Score	SY2012 Goal	SY2013 Goal	
SAT - Reading S of students meeting or exceeding tate standards	58.3	68.0	75.0	80.0	ISAT - Reading % of students exceeding state standards	6.3	15.0	20.0	
SAT - Mathematics 6 of students meeting or exceeding tate standards	68.1	78.0	85.0	90.0	ISAT - Mathematics % of students exceeding state standards	4.2	15.0	20.0	
ISAT - Science % of students meeting or exceeding state standards	63.8	70.0	75.0	80.0	ISAT - Science % of students exceeding state standards	1.4	10.0	15.0	

Version 03/12 Date Stamp November 22, 2012 ES Goals Page 4 of 28



School Effectiveness Framework

Typical School	Effective School	Evidence Evaluation
Goals and theory of action		> <u>2</u>
 The school has established goals for student achievement that are aimed at making incremental growth and narrowing of achievement gaps. The school has a plan but may have too many competing priorities. 	 The school has established clear, measurable goals for student achievement aimed at aggressively narrowing the achievement gap and ensuring college and career readiness of all students at the school, grade, and classroom levels. The school has established a clear theory of action or strategic plan that outlines the school's priorities (derived from analysis of data) and key levers along with the anticipated impact when implemented with fidelity. 	School has a theory of action with SMART goals and has identified key levers aimed toward increasing student achievement. School year begins with goal setting based on data in all areas ranging fro kindergarden to 8th grade. ILT and grade level teams work to monitor benchmark data and measure progress towards goal. School sometimes is distracted with participation in programs or pilots.
Principal Leadership		
Professional learning is organized through whole	Principal creates a professional learning system that	Professional development is developed to support classroom
staff development but it is not tightly linked to what	evaluates teacher need and interest and builds	instruction and is tied to weakness exposed in data and teacher
happens in teacher team meetings or 1:1 coaching	opportunities for growth in content knowledge and	observations. Teacher teams meet with principal weekly to analyz
cycles.	leadership	data Attempts are made to train parents and envolve them in
Principal monitors instructional practice for teacher	Principal clarifies a vision for instructional best practice,	supporting the schools vision and theory of action. School partners
evaluations. • School-wide or class specific vision is not	works with each staff member to determine goals and benchmarks, monitors quality and drives continuous	with organizations that promote college readiness
·	improvement.	
 Principal provides basic information for families on 	Principal establishes and nurtures a culture of college and	
school events and responds to requests for	career readiness through clarity of vision, internal and	
information. Families and community are engaged	external communications and establishment of systems to	
through occasional school-wide events such as open	support students in understanding and reaching these goals.	
houses or curriculum nights.	Principal creates a system for empowered families and	
	communities through accurate information on school performance, clarity on student learning goals, and	





School Effectiveness Framework

Typical School	Effective School	Evidence	Evaluation
Teacher Leadership		>	2
A core group of teachers performs nearly all leadership duties in the school. A few voices tend to contribute to the majority of decision-making at the ILT and teacher team levels. Teacher learning and expertise is inconsistently shared after engagement in professional learning activities.	Each teacher is invested in the success of the school through leadership in one or more areas, including (but not limited to): -ILT membership -Grade/Course team lead - RtI team -Committee chair or membership -Mentor teacher -Curriculum team -Coach -Family liaison -Data team -Bilingual lead -SIPAAA/CWIP team -Union representative -Grant writer Each teacher has equity of voice in grade/course, ILT and whole staff meetings Each teacher is encouraged to share learning about effective practice from PD or visits to other schools	Teacher are given opportunities to head tasks and even school. There are only a small number of teachers that improvement efforts. Some times have multiple duties, have none other than their classroom instruction. More opportunities can be create to engage teachers in other school improvement.	drive but some





School Effectiveness Framework

Typical School	Effective School	Evidence Evaluation
Instructional Leadership Team (ILT)		
 The ILT represents some or most grade levels or departments, but may not include critical areas of expertise, like special education, bilingual education or counseling. The ILT splits time and focus between improving teaching and learning and solving day-to-day operational concerns. The ILT organizes some whole staff professional development activities. Development at the teacher team or teacher level is not coordinated by the ILT. ILT decision-making is carried out in isolation, or without a clear process for staff-wide engagement. ILT engages in changes to practice in response to voiced concerns. ILT analyzes student test data if new data is available. 	knowledge and expertise needed to make decisions for all students and staff. • The ILT leads the work of improving teaching and learning school-wide • The ILT leads the school's approach to professional development – whole staff PD, teacher teams, and coaching. • The ILT facilitates two-way communication and engages all staff in participating in decision-making that advances the school's strategic focus. • The ILT engages in regular reflection upon its own team	Ilt includes members from all grade bands, but does not have a a member on the team to represent special education students. Meetings are focused on school improvement in the area of teachi in learning and minutes reflect focused discusiion on data and area of concern or success. Trackers show that action items are completed in a timely manner and artifacts are kept to confirm completion. Team members faciliate small group or one one coaching for areas as determined by the data meetings, but there i not a formal system. While team works to improve constantly, reflection is not embedded in the meetings or plans. All meeting us data as the focal point and mutliple data sets are sometimes used i meetings to cross reference information and provide further insigh Data sets are filed with meeting notes and agenda.
Monitoring and adjusting		
Data for district assessments is occasionally analyzed at the school level, typically when new reports are made available. Analysis may lead to instructional practice.	The school has a systematic approach to analyzing data relative to the school's theory of action on an ongoing basis—at the school level, department/grade level, and classroom level—in order to make adjustments to their focus and to target support for particular teachers and students.	All district assessment are analyzed at the ILT level as well as durin teacher teams meeting. Strengths, weaknesses and trends are identified are some solutions are identified. Follow up and class adjustment are not always implemented or monitored consistently Supports are target at the teacher level but sometimes do not get down to the student level.



School Effectiveness Framework

Instructions: Evaluate your school from 1-4 on each of the Effective Practices of the School Effectiveness Framework in the drop down box under "Evaluation". Cite evidence from observations, any available data, surveys, etc. NOTE: 2= Typical School and 4 = Effective School **TIP: When entering text, press Alt + Enter to start a new paragraph.**

	Typical School	Effective School	Evidence Evalua	tion
	Curriculum			
בטוב וווזנו מכו	materials or by an individual teacher. • Each teacher develops his/her own units of instruction or follows what is suggested by the pacing provided in instructional materials. • Text used for instruction exposes some students to grade-appropriate complexity and is heavily focused	sequence that maps out what Common Core or other state standards teachers should teach and in what order in core subject areas. • Each grade level or course team develops/uses common units of instruction aligned to the standards. • Text used for instruction exposes all students to a grade-appropriate level of complexity and informational texts to at least the CCSS-recommended levels by grade band. • Short and long term plans include the supports necessary	Curriculum is set by the pacing guides supplied by the network of textbook company and varies at different grade bands. Some objectives are common among grade bands and can be seen in lesson plans and classroom objectives, but teachers do not use common units or lesson plans consistently. Some teachers use complex text in the classroom to suport instruction and draw from both fiction and non-fiction as well as reources other than basals trade books. Some teachers are still dependent on test prep bootheir main source text. Lesson plans are largely for whole group instruction without intentional planning for small groups or differientiation.	om s and
•	Instructional materials		3	
9 3	single textbook with little exposure to standards- aligned supplemental materials.	 Each grade level or course team has a set of instructional materials that are aligned with standards. Instructional materials are supportive of students with disabilities as well as varying language proficiency levels of ELLs (including native language and bilingual supports). 	We have replaced the math, science, and literature books in 6-84 grade to match the CMSI curriculum and to include texts that complement the rigor reflected in common core standards. We lad a large collection of supplemental materials for all grade levels t support individual skills and topics and to aide teachers with differientation. Teachers have access to webstes and databases include audio and video supports aligned to standards.	have hat

Reading Materials Survey: In addition to evaluating your school in this area, we encourage schools to begin inventorying grade level literacy materials by completing the survey at www.surveymonkey.com/s/materialsurvey. While this is not a comprehensive inventory of your school's instructional materials, this will help you identify the additional literacy materials needed to help implement the Common Core State Standards in the upcoming school year.





School Effectiveness Framework

Typical School	Effective School	Evidence	Evaluation
Assessment		>	2
 School wide data is available to the ILT. Teacher team or classroom data is not always available when teachers need it—or teachers inconsistently bring it to teacher team meetings. Each grade level or course team administers the required district assessments but there may be gaps in the kind of assessment tools available to them. Assessments are focused on a particular form of assessment and may not adequately provide a complete picture of student learning. Most assessments are designed to be identical for all students, without accommodation for learner need. 	organized and available to all who need it immediately after each assessment. • Each grade level or course team uses a comprehensive set of assessments – screening, diagnostic, benchmark, formative, and summative – to monitor student learning on a frequent basis. • Assessment methods (e.g., student work, selected	Data is shared schoolwide in a timely manner following assessments. All staff members are given electronic and of data. Professional devlopement meetings include a remetrics to date. Assessment data is also shared with stu some is displayed in halls along with growth targets. Disassessments are given but teachers struggle to create wassessment that clearly are aligned to objectives. Use of performance tasks and constructed response is used but Assessments are given with appropriate accomodations vary based on student need or learning style.	the hardcopies eview of all dents and trict eekly f



School Effectiveness Framework

Typical School	Effective School	Evidence Evaluation
Instruction		> 2
 Communication of the learning objective is inconsistent or lesson objectives do not consistently align to standards. Questioning is more heavily aimed at assessing basic student understanding and comprehension. Sequencing of lessons in most classes is primarily driven by the pacing suggested in instructional materials. Instruction is most often delivered whole-group with few opportunities for scaffolding learning or the level of rigor is not consistently high. Formative assessment during instruction is used occasionally or inconsistently between teachers. 	techniques that promote student thinking and understanding.	Some teachers lessons and activities are aligned to standards and objectives, but others show lack of clarity on what the standards are asking students to perform. Some classroom observations reveal use of questioning that is open-ended and requires students to defend answers. Other classes include questioning that is limited to just recall. Attempts at scaffolding are made and some teachers uses anchor charts regularly to model and support new learning. Evidence exists of scaffolding during classroom observations, but complete cycles are not always observed. Whole group instruction dominates classrooms.



School Effectiveness Framework

Instructions: Evaluate your school from 1-4 on each of the Effective Practices of the School Effectiveness Framework in the drop down box under "Evaluation". Cite evidence from observations, any available data, surveys, etc. NOTE: 2= Typical School and 4 = Effective School TIP: When entering text, press Alt + Enter to start a new paragraph.

Typical School	Effective School	Evidence Evaluation
Intervention • Decision-making about how to determine which students are in need of intervention, what interventions they receive and how to determine the success of interventions is not regularly monitored. The intervention options are limited (sometimes one-size-fits-all), making it difficult to find a targeted solution to address a particular student's needs. Intervention monitoring and adjustments are left to teacher discretion without school-wide systems.	The school has a systematic approach to administering screening assessments to identify students in need of academic intervention. The school has a systematic approach to administering diagnostic assessments to identify particular skills gaps. Interventions at the elementary level include in-class, small group instruction, push-in support provided by specialists, one on one support and additional supports outside of the classroom.	Evidence Evaluation 2 Decision making around which students need interventions is based on data and occurs at every grade level. Classroom teachers, ancillary staff, and ESP's are trained and included in intervention efforts school-wide. Some, but not all students are progress monitored to ensure that the interventions are working. Inteventions are occuring outside of the classroom, but small grouping support inside is not seen. Intervention software data is used, but usage and progress data is not monitored by the teacher and the
	group instruction, double blocks in literacy and	ILT team on a frequent basis.

Whole staff professional development

- Whole staff professional development occurs regularly but is not tightly aligned to the school's priorities.
 Quality, effectiveness or relevance of professio development is not monitored. regularly but is not tightly aligned to the school's
 - Quality, effectiveness or relevance of professional development is not monitored.
- The school has a year-long, focused plan for whole staff professional development aligned to school-wide priorities and growth goals.
- The school has a method for continually monitoring the effectiveness of all professional development (including coaching and teacher collaboration).
- School-wide structures ensure that professional development is ongoing, job-embedded and relevant to teachers.

Whole professional development is done during teacher institute days and during some teacher team meetings as well. Professional development is developed based on school-wide trends and observations or around new initiatives or incentives. Teachers have also completed year long training with Common Core in preperation for district wide roll. Training included follow-up activites in the classroom. Principal dominates professional development. Teachers can be included and outside vendors can be used as well.

Date Stamp November 22, 2012

Professional



School Effectiveness Framework

Typical School	Effective School	Evidence Evaluation
Grade-level and/or course teams		
 Teachers meet regularly but it is focused on a mix of activities—planning, professional development, and data analysis—that may change from week to week. Teachers do not have a regular opportunity to discuss progress monitoring data to track effectiveness of student intervention. Ownership for student learning results lies primarily with individual teachers. Planning typically takes place with general education teachers only. Special education, bilingual or other specialists typically plan and meet separately or only join the group occasionally. There are meeting agendas, but no clear protocols or norms for discussion. 	 Teachers collaborate in regular cycles: quarterly for long-term unit planning, weekly to analyze formative assessment data and plan weekly instruction. Teachers and specialists meet approximately every six weeks to discuss progress-monitoring data for students receiving intervention. Teacher teams share ownership for results in student learning. Teams are inclusive of general education, special education, bilingual teachers and other specialists. Teams are supported by an ILT member, team leader, or "expert", as appropriate. Teachers have protocols or processes in place for team collaboration. 	Teachers meet in grade band teams on a weekly basis, but the principal faciliates most meetings. ILT members are present at each teacher team meeting, but do no faciliate meetings. Data and instructional strategies are the focus of meetings and agendas are used, but housekeeping items sometimes monopolize the meeting. More time can be given Both general and special education teachemeet with their respective grade level bands, but ancillary staff is included even though they may be providing interventions or supports. Session are instructionally focused, but time for plannin is not included.
Instructional coaching		
 Coaching typically takes place through informal associations or is only focused on a smaller group of teachers. Formal support for new teachers comes from district-sponsored induction. Professional development decisions are not systematized and left to teacher initiative/discretion. Teachers occasionally receive quality feedback to support individual growth. Peer observation and cross-classroom visitation happens occasionally, but not as an integral part of the school's plan for professional learning. 	 Every school has a coaching plan that identifies teacher needs, who provides the coaching, and how frequently. New teachers are provided with effective induction support. Teachers have individual professional development plans tailored to their needs. Teachers consistently receive quality feedback that supports their individual growth. Peer coaching and cross classroom visitation is also used as a form of coaching. 	Instructional coaching exists but is more reactive rather than managed in a proactive and sytematic manner. More time is spent on low performing teachers than midrange or high performing teachers. Support includes in class modeling and one-on-one meetings. Feedback is given but methods and frequency vary from teacher to teacher. Teachers are given information about professional development that is offered and attempts are made to connect teachers to training that addresses their areas of growth.



School Effectiveness Framework

Instructions: Evaluate your school from 1-4 on each of the Effective Practices of the School Effectiveness Framework in the drop down box under "Evaluation". Cite evidence from observations, any available data, surveys, etc. NOTE: 2= Typical School and 4 = Effective School **TIP: When entering text, press Alt + Enter to start a new paragraph.**

Typical School	Effective School	Evidence Evaluation
High expectations & College-going culture		
students to aspire to college and career ready standards, or expectations are only reinforced for some students.	 Every staff member reinforces school expectations for all students to aspire to college and career-ready standards. The school has developed and is executing an intentional plan to build and maintain a college-going culture. Every student has opportunities for authentic leadership and student voice 	Many teachers hold high expectations for students and are bought into a college going culture, but some express concerns in students ability levels. Some teachers include assignments that include college as research topics or text selections. Some classes visit colleges on fieldtrips and a small number of students have participated in summer camps on college campases. School environment includes college pendants. School partners with GEAR-Up which focuses on college-readiness and success with 7-8th grade students.
Includioliships		3
 Patterns of interaction between adults and students and among students are inconsistent Students with disabilities are typically confined to a special education classroom with few opportunities to interact with peers. Student home language and culture is often overlooked. 	deeply and supports them in achieving their goals • Patterns of interactions, both between adults and students and among students, are respectful, with appropriate, fair	All special education students have a general education homeroom and eat lunch and attend preps with their general education peers. Patterns of interaction with students and teachers are gererally position and students have some adult in the building that they can reason with or feel comfortable talking to. Students seek out adults or write letters to express concerns or for help.
Behavior& Safety		
are handled differently between teachers without school wide norms.School environment occasionally leads to situations un-conducive to learning.	 The school has a common, consistent school-wide approach to student discipline and tiered approach to behavioral intervention that recognizes and builds on positive behavior. Staff establishes and maintains a safe, welcoming school environment. 	School has school-wide behaivor plan and teachers have been trained on different strategies and interventions. School lacks formal structures and RTI methods to support students with frequent referrals. Discipline referrrals used but not tracked by month or classroom to look for trends in data. Student of month program highlights good citizenship and rewards are given encourage positive behaivor.

Date Stamp November 22, 2012

Version 03/12 SEF Page 13 of 28





School Effectiveness Framework

Instructions: Evaluate your school from 1-4 on each of the Effective Practices of the School Effectiveness Framework in the drop down box under "Evaluation". Cite evidence from observations, any available data, surveys, etc. NOTE: 2= Typical School and 4 = Effective School **TIP: When entering text, press Alt + Enter to start a new paragraph.**

Typical School	Effective School	Evidence Evaluation
Expectations		> 3
Principal provides information to families on school performance in response to parent requests. Teachers provide information to families on their grading system, but families may be unclear on what uccessfully meeting the standard would look like. Families can learn about the transition process if hey reach out to the school for information.	 Principal provides clear information for families on school performance and accurately explains this information so that families understand its relevance to their children as well as the plan for improvement. Teachers provide clear information for families on what students are expected to achieve in a given grade level or course and examples of what meeting the standards looks like. Schools proactively provide information regarding school choices to families looking to relocate or to students in transition grades. 	School has a data board at the front entrance with achievement data and school report cards. School open house highlights data as well as areas of growth and concern. Parent portal pins are given to parents and assistance is provided in setting up parents that need support. Progress reports are issued more requently than the Impact schedule. Parents are included as key levers in helping school achieve improvement goals and are given clear training and supports on student progress and how they can help at home. Parents meetings are held to explain the high school application process in both 7th and 8th grade and many choices are offered other than the neighborhood feeder schools.
Ongoing communication		
Communication to families is typically conducted only during report card pick-up and in cases of behavior/academic concerns.	• Teachers and other school staff engage in ongoing, two- way communication with families so that they know how their child is doing relative to grade-level expectations and how the families can support their child's learning at home, but also so that school staff can learn from the families about their child's strengths and needs.	Newsleter is issued once per quarter with relevant updates. Inside the school a board is update weekly to include upcoming events and announcements. Teachers communication logs are used but not consistently. Mass mailings and robo calls are used to communicate reminders and imporant information.
Bonding		3
The school has a business-like atmosphere. School staff provides occasional opportunities for families and community members to participate in authentic and engaging activities in the school community like student performances, exhibitions, literacy or math events, etc.	 The school establishes and non-threatening, welcoming environment. The principal leads the work to empower and motivate families and community to become engaged. School staff provides frequent opportunities for families and community members to participate in authentic and engaging activities in the school community like student performances, exhibitions, literacy or math events, etc. 	Parents are met with regardless of whether they have an appointment or not in most cases. Security and office staff work to welcome visitors and defuse and problematic situations early on. Events are scheduled reguarly to engage parents (literacy night, open house, math game night, music concerts, honor roll assembly and growth awards) passports or sign-in sheets are used to make sure parents are engaged in different stations or areas.

Date Stamp November 22, 2012





School Effectiveness Framework

Typical School	Effective School	Evidence	Evaluation
Specialized support		>	2
 School provides required services to students within the school building/typical school hours. 	School staff conducts intensive outreach to families in need of specialized support through home visits and collaboration with social services agencies.	Social service agency are sometimes on site to meet wit students. Supports outside the school are given to parer and representative are invited to school on report card popen house nights.	nts as need
College & Career Exploration and election		>	3
Information about college or career choices is provided.		School has an ongoing partnership with the Big Ten Conf a college kickoff each year. School also partners with Ge provide college tours and information. Counselor utilize your future features with students during weekly counse	ar Up to d choose
Academic Planning		>	2
	preparation, participation, and performance in their college	School has an ongoing partnership with the Big Ten Conf a college kickoff each year. School also partners with Ge provide college tours and information. Counselor utilize your future features with students during weekly counse	ar Up to d choose
Enrichment & Extracurricular Engagement		>	2
• Extracurricular activities exist but may be limited in scope or students may not be purposefully involved in activities that align with their strengths and needs.	 The school ensures equitable exposure to a wide range of extracurricular and enrichment opportunities that build leadership, nurture talents and interests, and increase 	Afterschool activities focus on academic suppport, but a sports, honors choir, beta club, cheer/dance, karate.	llso include





School Effectiveness Framework

Typical School	Effective School	Evidence	Evaluation
College & Career Assessments		>	3
Students do not participate in college and career ready assessments	The school promotes preparation, participation, and performance in college and career assessments.	Student take both and explore practice and final exam.	
College & Career Admissions and Affordability		>	3
 Students in 11th and 12th grade are provided information on college options, costs and financia aid. 	The school provides students and families with comprehensive information about college options and costs (HS only) The school ensures that students and families have an early and ongoing understanding of the college and career application and admission processes, including information on financial aid and scholarship eligibility.		
Transitions		·>	3
Transitions between key grades provide families with the required minimum paperwork/information	The school works to ensure effective transitions—into Kindergarten, at each "benchmark" grade, and from 8th to 9th. (HS only) The school connects students to school and community resources to help them overcome barriers and ensure the successful transition from high school to college.	School hosted high school fair in conjunction with anot school. Students participate in high school shadow day provides meeting for parents about high school transiti meets with 8th grade students weekly.	s and school



School Effectiveness Framework

Instructions: Evaluate your school from 1-4 on each of the Effective Practices of the School Effectiveness Framework in the drop down box under "Evaluation". Cite evidence from observations, any available data, surveys, etc. NOTE: 2= Typical School and 4 = Effective School **TIP: When entering text, press Alt + Enter to start a new paragraph.**

Typical School	Effective School	Evidence Ev	aluatio
Jse of Discretionary Resources		>	3
• School discretionary funding is inconsistently aligned to identified needs and priorities. • Outside funding or community partnerships are primarily limited to opportunities that present themselves to the school. • Funding of non-priority initiatives is common throughout the year.	outside funding or community partnerships to help meet	School purchase are limited to supplies that support key lev improvement. Focus is on technology and increasing numb computer labs, laptops, ipad, smart boards, to support inst and to run intervention programs. Funds also support addit staff members (interventionist, additonal classroom teache support schoolwide improvement efforts.	er of ruction tion of
Building a Team			3
 Hiring is conducted after a vacancy or expected vacancy is identified. All or nearly all applicants have little to no prior connection to the school. Interviews typically consist of an interview with the principal or a team from the school, but there are no apportunities to demonstrate knowledge or skill in the classroom. Grade/course teams are not intentionally designed. 	 Hiring is conducted after an assessment of student need, staff capacity and scheduling priorities. School actively works to build a pool of potential staff members through internships and part-time work. A multistep interview process includes a protocol for questioning and classroom lesson demonstrations to assess candidate expertise, philosophy and commitment. Grade/course teams are assembled to include the needed combination of knowledge and expertise. 	potential candidates are screened with multiple stakeholde teach model lessons prior to being hired. Teacher teams an students are given a chance to provide imput on hiring deci Both individual and group interviews are used in the hiring	nd isions.
Use of Time		>	3
 School schedule is designed based on number of minutes per subject or course. Teacher collaboration time is limited or occurs only before/after school. Intervention for struggling students happens at the discretion/initiative of individual teachers, during core courses. 	 School designs a "right fit" schedule based on student needs and school-wide growth goals. The school schedule allows for regular, meaningful collaboration in teacher teams. Struggling students receive structured intervention in dedicated blocks. 	Intervention blocks are purposefully planned into the sched Teacher collaboration time and is exteneded beyond minin allow teacher more time for analyzing, planning and partne	nums to

Version 03/12 SEF Page 17 of 28





Mission & Strategic Priorities

Instructions: Write in your Mission Statement. Using your key levers from the Theory of Action, develop 3 - 5 strategic priorities you will focus on over the next two years. Provide a Rationale using these guiding questions: What data (student achievement, school effectiveness framework, etc.) did you use to determine the priority? How does this priority impact instruction? How does this priority help you to achieve your goals? **Tip: When entering text, press Alt+Enter to start a new paragraph.**

Mission Statement

Genevieve Melody Elementary School aspires to meet the academic, social, and emotional needs of every student student preparing to enter a 21st century global society. We commit our efforts to developing literate, self-disciplined, socially-conscious, life-long learners who are high-school ready and preparing to becoming significant contributers to society.

Strate	egic Priorities	
#	Priority Description: Write in the description of your priority.	Rationale: Write in your rationale (see instructions for guiding questions).
1	Develop and implement sytematic RTI Interventions in reading and math for students flagged using district level and school based screening assessments (NWEA, SRI, MCLASS Reading & Math etc.)	While regular data analysic occurs at both the ILT and teacher team levels, when students are identified as needing support there are no concrete or systematic measures in place to address their need for academic support and monitoring. Attempts are pieced together in a reactive manner which stalls efforts and stifles student progress. Our ISAT data reveals that 40% of students did not meet expectations on the ISAT in the area of reading and 30% in the area of math. Mid-Year NWEA data revealed that only 36% of students in the school were on grade level in the area of reading and only 21% were on grade level in the area of math. By creating a systematic way to address student learning deficiences and track the results we can begin to increase the number or students meeting their individual growth targets and begin to increase the number of students at grade level based on nationally normed percentiles.
2	Develop and refine teacher practice in the use of differientiated small grouping to design and deliver mini-lessons based on student level and classroom assessment data.	NWEA and MClass data were analyzed for trends and growth targerts after the beginning of the year administration. Although attention is given to individual student progress and growth targets, teachers continue to use whole group as their dominant form of delivering instruction. Systematic design and implementation of differientiated small groups based on data would help students to recieve more targeted practice and support our efforts to increase our early literacy and reading measures as well as our NWEA growth targets.

Version 03/12 Date Stamp November 22, 2012

Mission & Priorities Page 18 of 28

	stanndards for grades k-8.	While the schools made 13% growth in the ISAT composite data last year, Explore data revealed that only 12% of 8th grades met college readiness benchmarks in reading and only 6% met benchmarks in math. Remediation efforts alone will continue to limit the number of students reaching this benchmark. Refinement of teaching practice to the common core standards will infuse elements such text complexity, students engagement with text and writing with a focus connecting ideas with text support. These strategies will help to increase the level of rigor in classroom and instruction and when done in concert with intervention will help us to increase the number of students meeting college readiness benchmarks by the end of 8th grade.
	percentage of chronically truant students.	School attendance rate for the last year 92%. Weekly monitoring of attenance revealed that the grade levels with the lowest attendance rates fall within the kinder to 2nd grade range. In some instances a small number of students at a grade level were driving the low percentage rates because of frequent absences. ILT data meetings often revealed links between poor attedance and student performance, especially on MClass measures and sight word development. Increased attendance rates will help to ensure that students are not missing essential classroom instruction and that those receiving intervention support will have consistent and sustained support.
5	Optional	

Version 03/12 Date Stamp November 22, 2012 Mission & Priorities Page 19 of 28





Strategic Priority 1

Instructions: Develop milestones for each strategic priority that you will implement. Milestones are significant steps that a school must accomplish in the implementation of the strategic priority. Milestones are SMART (Specific, Measurable, Attainable, Realistic, and Time-bound). Indicate the category and group of students to which the milestone applies, the responsible party and the timeline. You will update the status and next steps throughout the year as part of your continuous improvement cycle.

Strategic Priority Description	Rationale
Develop and implement sytematic RTI Interventions in reading and math for students flagged using district level and	While regular data analysic occurs at both the ILT and teacher team levels, when students are identified as
school based screening assessments (NWEA, SRI, MCLASS Reading & Math etc.)	needing support there are no concrete or systematic measures in place to address their need for academic
	support and monitoring. Attempts are pieced together in a reactive manner which stalls efforts and stifles
	student progress. Our ISAT data reveals that 40% of students did not meet expectations on the ISAT in the area

Action Plan Monitoring

Milestones	Category	Target Group	Responsible Party	Start	Completed	Status	Comments & Next Steps
School-Wide Self Assessment using RTI Readiness Rubric	ILT/ Teacher Teams	All	ILT	Quarter 4	Summer 2012		
Selection of RTI Teams and training on structure and roles for 2012-13 school year.	Professional Development	Not Applicable	Principal , AP	Summer 2012	Quarter 1		
Universal Screening of students using district assessments (Mclass and NWEA)	Other	All	All Teachers, Principal, AP	On-going	Quarter 1		
Planning of RTI block in the full day scehdule.	Other	Not Applicable	Principal, AP, Counselor	Summer 2012	Summer 2012		
Create RTI pull-out schedule based on data from universal screening for quarter 1	Other	Other student group	Teacher Teams and Principal	Quarter 1	Quarter 1		
Review of progress monitoring data for quarter 1	ILT/ Teacher Teams	Other student group	ILT Team	Quarter 1	Quarter 1		
ILT Meeting to assess effectiveness of schedule, structure and data	ILT/ Teacher Teams	Not Applicable	ILT Team	Quarter 1	Quarter 1		
Create quarter 2 groups based on progress monitoring from quarter 1	Other	Other student group	Teacher Teams and Principal	Quarter 1	Quarter 1		
Review of progress monitoring data for quarter 2	ILT/ Teacher Teams	Other student group	IL T Team	Quarter 2	Quarter 2		
ILT Meeting to assess effectiveness of schedule, structure and data	ILT/ Teacher Teams	Other student group	ILT Team	Quarter 2	Quarter 2		
Create quarter 3 groups based on progress monitoring from quarter 2	Other	Other student group	Teacher Teams and Principal	Quarter 2	Quarter 2		



2012-2014 Continuous Improvement Work Plan

Genevieve Melody Elementary School



Strategic Priority 1						
Review of progress monitoring data for quarter 3.	ILT/ Teacher Teams	Other student group	ILT and Principal	Quarter 3	Quarter 3	
ILT Meeting to assess effectiveness of schedule, structure and data	ILT/ Teacher Teams	Not Applicable	ILT and Principal	Quarter 3	Quarter 3	
Review of data with counselor to discuss students not making progress for possible referral for special education testing	Other	Students With Disabilities	Principal, AP, Counselor	Quarter 3	Quarter 3	
Create quarter 4 groups based on progress monitoring	Other	Other student group	Teacher Teams and Principal	Quarter 3	Quarter 4	
Final Review of student growth data for RTI Students	ILT/ Teacher Teams	Other student group	ILT	Quarter 4	Quarter 4	
Assessment of RTI procedure and effetiveness /implications for next years planning process	ILT/ Teacher Teams	Other student group	ILT	On-going	On-going	
Progress reports for parents with students in RTI	Parental Involvement	Other student group	AP and teachers	On-going	On-going	
Connection to RTI students to afterschool and SES services	After School/ Extended Day	Other student group	AP, Chris Alexander and Teachers	Quarter 1	On-going	

Version 03/12 Date Stamp November 22, 2012 Priority 1 Page 21 of 28





Strategic Priority 2

Instructions: Develop milestones for each strategic priority that you will implement. Milestones are significant steps that a school must accomplish in the implementation of the strategic priority. Milestones are SMART (Specific, Measurable, Attainable, Realistic, and Time-bound). Indicate the category and group of students to which the milestone applies, the responsible party and the timeline. You will update the status and next steps throughout the year as part of your continuous improvement cycle.

Strategic Priority Description	Rationale
Develop and refine teacher practice in the use of differientiated small grouping to design and deliver mini-lessons	NWEA and MClass data were analyzed for trends and growth targerts after the beginning of the year
based on student level and classroom assessment data.	administration. Although attention is given to individual student progress and growth targets, teachers continue
	to use whole group as their dominant form of delivering instruction. Systematic design and implementation of
	differing tipted amount process has a data would halp students to regions more targeted processes and support

Action Plan Monitoring

Milestones	Category	Target Group	Responsible Party	Start	Completed	Status	Comments & Next Steps
Administer teacher survey to guage strengths and	Professional	All	Principal	Summer 2012	Summer 2012		
weakness	Development	All	Fillicipal	Julillier 2012	Julillier 2012		
Article and discussion to create a sense of urgency and	Professional	All	Principal	Summer 2012	Summer 2012		
provide background knowledge on grouping	Development	All	rincipai	Julillier 2012	Julillier 2012		
Professional Development of small group instructional-	Professional	All	Principal	Quarter 1	Quarter 1		
structures & routines	Development	All	Fillicipal	Quarter 1	Quarter 1		
Revision of lesson plan template to include plans for small	Instruction	All	Principal & AP	Summer 2012	Summer 2012		
grouping	ilistruction	All	Frincipal & AF	Julillier 2012	Julillier 2012		
Review of NWEA and Mclass data to identify targeted	Instruction	All	Principal and	Quarter 1	Quarter 1		
groups	ilisti uccion	All	Teacher Teams	Quarter 1	Quarter 1		
Teachers submit small groups instruction plans	Instruction	All	Teacher Teams	Quarter 1	Quarter 1		
Classroom observation of small group instruction lesson	Instruction	All	All Principal and AP	Quarter 1	On-going		
with post observation meeting	ilistruction	All	Fillicipal allu AF	Quarter 1	On-going		
Review assessment data from small groups and from							
winter benchmark data to determine need for regrouping	Instruction	All	Teacher Teams & ILT	Quarter 2	Quarter 2		
or priority of skills for instruction							
Professional Development of small group instructional-	Professional	All	Principal	Quarter 2	Quarter 2		
Connecting groups/tasks to data	Development	All	Fillicipal	Quarter 2			
Teachers submit new small group instruction plans	Instruction	All	Teachers	Quarter 2	Quarter 2		
Peer Observations of small group lesson	Professional	All	Teachers	Quarter 3	Quarter 3		
reel Observations of sinal group lesson	Development	All	reactiers	Quarter 5	Quarter 5		
Teacher led professional development on best practices in	Professional	All	ILT	Quarter 3	Quarter 3		
small grouping	Development	All	ILI	Quarter 5	Quarter 5		
				<u> </u>			

Version 03/12 Date Stamp November 22, 2012 Priority 2 Page 22 of 28





Strategic Priority 2				

Version 03/12 Date Stamp November 22, 2012 Priority 2 Page 23 of 28





Strategic Priority 3

Instructions: Develop milestones for each strategic priority that you will implement. Milestones are significant steps that a school must accomplish in the implementation of the strategic priority. Milestones are SMART (Specific, Measurable, Attainable, Realistic, and Time-bound). Indicate the category and group of students to which the milestone applies, the responsible party and the timeline. You will update the status and next steps throughout the year as part of your continuous improvement cycle.

Strategic Priority Description	Rationale
	While the schools made 13% growth in the ISAT composite data last year, Explore data revealed that only 12% of
	8th grades met college readiness benchmarks in reading and only 6% met benchmarks in math. Remediation
	efforts alone will continue to limit the number of students reaching this benchmark. Refinement of teaching
	practice to the common core standards will infuse elements such text complexity, students engagement with

Action Plan Monitoring

Milestones	Category	Target Group	Responsible Party	Start	Completed	Status	Comments & Next Steps
Identify Teachers for Summer Institute & Attend with teachers	Staffing	All	Principal	Summer 2012	Summer 2012		
Tier Teachers for Support Based on ability and Neeed and identify professional development areas	Professional Development	All	Principal	Summer 2012	Summer 2012		
Professional Development- Preview on Frameworks and Unit Planning	Professional Development	All	Principal & AP	Summer 2012	Summer 2012		
Revision of Weekly Lesson Plan Template	Instruction	All	Principal and AP	Summer 2012	Summer 2012		
Audit and purchase of instructional materials for common core-focus on informational text	Instructional Materials	All	ILT Team- Mamie Pace	Summer 2012	Summer 2012		
Review of district sample plans and newtork sample plans for the first quarter units	Instructional Materials	All	Principal and AP	Summer 2012	Summer 2012		
Professional Development on unit planning templates and new weekly lesson plan template template	Professional Development	All	Principal and Office of Literacy Rep	Summer 2012	On-going		
Weekly lesson plan audits with written feedback for teachets	Instruction	All	Principal and AP	On-going	On-going		
Video tape model lesson (kindergardent and 6-8th grade) for PD	Professional Development	All	ILT Team and Technology Teacher	Quarter 1	Quarter 1		
Plan and design plan for 75 minute PD time to include common core planning for next unit plan	Professional Development	All	Principal and Teacher leaders	Summer 2012	Quarter 1		
Complete an informal observation using charlotte danielson framework- focus on domain 1 (looking for connections to common core planning)	Instruction	All	Principal and AP	Quarter 1	Quarter 1		
Classroom observations with teacher feedback	Instruction	All	Principal and AP	On-going	On-going		
Identify supplementary teacher materials and supports connected to common core	Instructional Materials	All	ILT Team	Quarter 1	Quarter 1		

Version 03/12 Date Stamp November 22, 2012 Priority 3 Page 24 of 28





Strategic Priority 3									





Strategic Priority 4

Instructions: Develop milestones for each strategic priority that you will implement. Milestones are significant steps that a school must accomplish in the implementation of the strategic priority. Milestones are SMART (Specific, Measurable, Attainable, Realistic, and Time-bound). Indicate the category and group of students to which the milestone applies, the responsible party and the timeline. You will update the status and next steps throughout the year as part of your continuous improvement cycle.

Strategic Priority Description	Rationale
Develop an attendance plan to promote increased students attendance to decrease the percentage of chronically	School attendance rate for the last year 92%. Weekly monitoring of attenance revealed that the grade levels
truant students.	with the lowest attendance rates fall within the kinder to 2nd grade range. In some instances a small number of
	students at a grade level were driving the low percentage rates because of frequent absences. ILT data meetings
	often revealed links between poor attedance and student performance, especially on MClass measures and sight

Action Plan Monitoring

Milestones	Category	Target Group	Responsible Party	Start	Completed	Status	Comments & Next Steps
Review of historical and SY 12 attendance data for trends and future planning	ILT/ Teacher Teams	All	ILT	Summer 2012	Summer 2012		
Create attendance incentive plan/calendar of reward events	Other	All	ILT	Summer 2012	Summer 2012		
Create list of morning and afternoon routines for main office procedures	Other	All	Clerk and AP	Summer 2012	Summer 2012		
Identify and meet with frequently absent students and parents from SY 11-12	Parental Involvement	Other student group	АР	Summer 2012	Quarter 1		
Recognize Quarter Atttendance at honor roll assembly	Other	All	Principal	Quarter 2	Quarter 2		
ILT meeting to discuss quarter one attendnace w/follow up action items	ILT/ Teacher Teams	All	ILT	Quarter 1	Quarter 1		
Solicite community partners for resources and donations to reward perfect attendance	Supplies	All	Principal	On-going	On-going		
ILT meetings to review Quarter 2 attendance	ILT/ Teacher Teams	All	ILT	Quarter 2	Quarter 2		
Meet with chronic truant parents and school community rep	Parental Involvement	All	ILT	Quarter 1	On-going		
ILT meeting to review Quarter 3 attendance	ILT/ Teacher Teams	All	ILT	Quarter 3	Quarter 3		
Identify parent volunteers to train on attendance and help run incentives	Parental Involvement	All	Principal & Clerk	Summer 2012	Summer 2012		
ILT meeting to review Quarter 4 attendance	ILT/ Teacher Teams	All	ILT	Quarter 4	Quarter 4		
Recognize Quarter Atttendance at honor roll assembly	Other	All	Principal	Quarter 3	Quarter 3		

Version 03/12 Date Stamp November 22, 2012 Priority 4 Page 26 of 28





Strategic Priority 4								
Letters home to students with 5 and 10 or more absences	Other	Other student group	Clerk and AP	On-going	On-going			





Strategic Priority 5

Instructions: Develop milestones for each strategic priority that you will implement. Milestones are significant steps that a school must accomplish in the implementation of the strategic priority. Milestones are SMART (Specific, Measurable, Attainable, Realistic, and Time-bound). Indicate the category and group of students to which the milestone applies, the responsible party and the timeline. You will update the status and next steps throughout the year as part of your continuous improvement cycle.

Strategic Priority Description	Rationale

Action Plan Monitoring

Milestones	Category	Target Group	Responsible Party	Start	Completed	Status	Comments & Next Steps