

Garfield-Humboldt Elementary Network

3320 W Hirsch St Chicago, IL 60651

ISBE ID: 150162990252321

School ID: 610044 Oracle ID: 24251



Gr8 Explore

Mission Statement

We are all responsible for developing the whole child academically, emotionally, socially and physically through the efforts of a dedicated staff and members of the wider community. And through a dynamic curriculum. As a staff, we encourage Lowell students and teachers to pursue academic excellence as well as develop personal and social responsibility by modeling positive behaviors.

Strategic Priorities

- 1. Increase Teacher Leadership and teacher ownership of student achievement.
- 2. Provide quality instruction for all students (including sped and ELLs) that is aligned to CCSS and that utilizes research-based instructional strategies, multiple opportunities for formative assessments/progress monitoring, opportunities to adjust the instruction based on assessment/progress monitoring data, includes
- 3. Provide differentiated professional learning for ALL teachers through systematic professional development that is aligned with the school goals and incorporates structured coaching and feedback cycles as well as an accountability system for the professional learning.

School Performance Goals

Literacy Performance Goals Math Performance Goals ■ SY2011 ■ SY2012 ■ SY2013 ■ SY2014 ■ SY2011 ■ SY2012 ■ SY2013 ■ SY2014 100% 100% 90% 90% 52.7 ^{58.0} ^{63.8} ^{70.2} 80% 80% 70% 70% 42.3 46.5 51.2 56.3 40.9 44.9 49.4 54.3 60% 60% 28.4 31.2 34.3 37.7 50% 50% 26.0 28.6 31.5 34.7 40% 40% 20.6 22.7 25.0 27.5 18.5 20.4 22.4 24.6 30% 30% 20% 20% 8.0 8.8 9.7 10.7 10% 10% 0% Early Math Gr3-5 Math Gr6-8 Math Early Literacy Gr3-5 Reading **Gr6-8 Reading Gr8** Explore



Continuous Improvement Work Plan 2012 - 2014



Overview

The Continuous Improvement Work Plan (CIWP) is a stream-lined, strategic planning process for schools that also meets the state and federal requirements of a school improvement plan. The CIWP uses previous goal and priority setting completed by the schools from the Scorecard metrics, School Effectiveness Framework and Theory of Action. Please see the CIWP Planning Guide at www.cps.edu/CIWP for detailed instructions on completing the tool.

| School Name | |
|---|--|
| To get started, please select your school's name from the drop down list: | James Russell Lowell Elementary School |

Developing a CIWP Team

A CIWP team consists of 6 – 12 committed stakeholders that act as the steering committee for the entire CIWP planning process. The principal should serve as the chairperson of the CIWP Team, appointing other team members from the school and community, which can include members from the ILT and/or LSC. These CIWP Team members should have strengths in collaboration and consensus-building. While the CIWP Team needs to remain small, it should include people with a variety of perspectives.

| CIWP Team | |
|-------------------|---------------------------|
| Name (Print) | Title/Relationship |
| Gladys B. Rivera | Principal |
| Miguel Vazquez | Assistant Principal |
| Patricia Lux | Lead/ Resource Teacher |
| Mary Muniz | ELL Teacher |
| Michelle Hogan | Classroom Teacher |
| Vanessa Alvarado | Classroom Teacher |
| Cynthia Martin | Classroom Teacher |
| Diana Hernandez | ELL Teacher |
| Nora Garcia | Special Education Faculty |
| Mitzi Santiago | LSC Member |
| Christine Kolarik | LSC Member |
| | |





Elementary Goal Setting

Instructions: Your school's data is organized by Scorecard categories. Using your current performance data and your SY2012 goals, determine the SY2013 & SY2014 performance goals for each metric. Note: ISAT scores include all students in the aggregates, including English Language Learners.

Academic Achievement

| Pre-K - 2nd Grade | SY2011 Score | SY2012 Goal | SY2013 Goal | SY2014 Goal | | SY2011 Score | SY2012 Goal | SY2013 Goal | SY201 Goa |
|--|-----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|---|-----------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|
| Early Literacy % of students at Benchmark on DIBELS, IDEL | 52.7 | 58.0 | 63.8 | 70.2 | Early Math % of students at Benchmark on mClass | 40.9 | 44.9 | 49.4 | 54.3 |
| 3rd - 5th Grade | | | | | | | | | |
| Grade Level Performance - Reading % of students at or above grade level on Scantron/NWEA | 18.5 | 20.4 | 22.4 | 24.6 | Grade Level Performance - Math % of students at or above grade level on Scantron/NWEA | 20.6 | 22.7 | 25.0 | 27.5 |
| Keeping Pace - Reading % of students making growth targets on Scantron/NWEA | 39.5 | 41.5 | 45.7 | 50.5 | Keeping Pace - Math % of students making growth targets on Scantron/NWEA | 50.3 | 55.3 | 60.8 | 66.9 |
| 6th - 8th Grade | | | | | | | | | |
| Grade Level Performance - Reading % of students at or above grade level on Scantron/NWEA | 28.4 | 31.2 | 34.3 | 37.7 | Grade Level Performance - Math % of students at or above grade level on Scantron/NWEA | 42.3 | 46.5 | 51.2 | 56.3 |
| Keeping Pace - Reading % of students making growth targets on Scantron/NWEA | 52.2 | 57.4 | 63.1 | 69.4 | Keeping Pace - Math % of students making growth targets on Scantron/NWEA | 66.4 | 73.0 | 80.3 | 88.3 |
| 8th Grade | | | | | | | | | |
| Explore - Reading % of students at college readiness benchmark | 26.0 | 28.6 | 31.5 | 34.7 | Explore - Math % of students at college readiness benchmark | 8.0 | 8.8 | 9.7 | 10.7 |

Version 03/12 Date Stamp November 22, 2012 ES Goals Page 4 of 30





Elementary Goal Setting

Instructions: Your school's data is organized by Scorecard categories. Using your current performance data and your SY2012 goals, determine the SY2013 & SY2014 performance goals for each metric. Note: ISAT scores include all students in the aggregates, including English Language Learners.

Climate & Culture

| All Grades | SY2011 | SY2012 Goal | SY2013 Goal | SY2014 Goal | | SY2011 | SY2012 Goal | SY2013 Goal | SY2014 Goal |
|---|--------|----------------|----------------|----------------|--|--------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Attendance Rate Average daily attendance rate | 93.2 | 96.0 | 97.0 | 98.0 | Misconducts Rate of Misconducts (any) per 100 | 11.2 | 10.1 | 9.1 | 8.1 |

State Assessment

| All Grades % Meets & Exceeds | SY2011 Score | SY2012 Goal | SY2013 Goal | SY2014 Goal | All Grades % Exceeds | SY2011 Score | SY2012 Goal | SY2013 Goal | SY2014 Goal |
|---|-----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|--|-----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| ISAT - Reading % of students meeting or exceeding state standards | 63.5 | 69.9 | 76.9 | 84.6 | ISAT - Reading % of students exceeding state standards | 8.2 | 9.0 | 9.9 | 10.8 |
| ISAT - Mathematics % of students meeting or exceeding state standards | 73.6 | 81.0 | 89.1 | 98.0 | ISAT - Mathematics % of students exceeding state standards | 10.2 | 11.2 | 12.3 | 13.5 |
| ISAT - Science % of students meeting or exceeding state standards | 63.5 | 69.9 | 76.9 | 84.6 | ISAT - Science % of students exceeding state standards | 1.9 | 2.1 | 4.1 | 4.5 |

Version 03/12 Date Stamp November 22, 2012 ES Goals Page 5 of 30



School Effectiveness Framework

| Typical School | Effective School | Evidence Evaluation |
|--|--|---|
| Goals and theory of action | | > <u>2</u> |
| achievement that are aimed at making incremental growth and narrowing of achievement gaps. • The school has a plan but may have too many competing priorities. | The school has established clear, measurable goals for student achievement aimed at aggressively narrowing the achievement gap and ensuring college and career readiness of all students at the school, grade, and classroom levels. The school has established a clear theory of action or strategic plan that outlines the school's priorities (derived from analysis of data) and key levers along with the anticipated impact when implemented with fidelity. | Lowell Elementary School has established goals for student achievement at the school and classroom levels but lacks cohesive grade level goals and interim measurements. Lowell Elementary School has an instructional focus on Guided Reading but the 2011-2012 school year we transitioned to a new Network and was introduced to many new practices such as ARS-1 ASWD, etc. Results from these assessments will guide the instructivith fidelity. |
| Principal Leadership | | > 3 |
| staff development but it is not tightly linked to what happens in teacher team meetings or 1:1 coaching cycles. • Principal monitors instructional practice for teacher evaluations. • School-wide or class specific vision is not consistently focused on college and career readiness • Principal provides basic information for families on school events and responds to requests for information. Families and community are engaged through occasional school-wide events such as open houses or curriculum nights. | Principal creates a professional learning system that evaluates teacher need and interest and builds opportunities for growth in content knowledge and leadership Principal clarifies a vision for instructional best practice, works with each staff member to determine goals and benchmarks, monitors quality and drives continuous improvement. Principal establishes and nurtures a culture of college and career readiness through clarity of vision, internal and external communications and establishment of systems to support students in understanding and reaching these goals. Principal creates a system for empowered families and communities through accurate information on school performance, clarity on student learning goals, and | Professional learning is organized for whole staff and occasiona for Primary (k-2) and Intermediate/Upper (3-8). A needs assessment will be crfeated to help guide our PD. Principal works with each staff member to determine an action plan for Reading and Math based on student achievement data from multiple sources (Scantron, ARS-17, ISAT, AMAT, etc.) Principal is working toward a school-wide culture of college and career readiness through encouraging students to apply to selective enrollment high schools and honors classes, and by offering High School Algebra to 8th grade students. Principal creates an atmosphere for empowering students, families, and communities through report card pickup conferences open houses, literacy nights, parent ISAT workshops, and by creating school-wide data walls that are shared at report-card pickup. Lowell hired ASCD Consultants to provide PD on CCSS to our |





School Effectiveness Framework

| Typical School | Effective School | Evidence Evaluation |
|--|---|--|
| Teacher Leadership | | > 2 |
| A core group of teachers performs nearly all leadership duties in the school. A few voices tend to contribute to the majority of decision-making at the ILT and teacher team levels. Teacher learning and expertise is inconsistently shared after engagement in professional learning activities. | Each teacher is invested in the success of the school through leadership in one or more areas, including (but not limited to): -ILT membership -Grade/Course team lead - RtI team -Committee chair or membership -Mentor teacher -Curriculum team -Coach -Family liaison -Data team -Bilingual lead -SIPAAA/CWIP team -Union representative -Grant writer Each teacher has equity of voice in grade/course, ILT and whole staff meetings Each teacher is encouraged to share learning about effective practice from PD or visits to other schools | Although it is a requirement to be a part of at least one committee and every teacher is signed up for at least one committee, a core group of teachers (ILT) performs almost all of the teacher leadership duties at the school. Members of the ILT attempt to solicit opinions regarding decision and few other staff members contribute to decision-making. Teacher learning gained from outside PDs is inconsistently shared and peer visits/observations are limited. |





School Effectiveness Framework

| Typical School | Effective School | Evidence Evaluation |
|--|--|--|
| Instructional Leadership Team (ILT) | | > 3 |
| The ILT represents some or most grade levels or departments, but may not include critical areas of expertise, like special education, bilingual education or counseling. The ILT splits time and focus between improving teaching and learning and solving day-to-day operational concerns. The ILT organizes some whole staff professional development activities. Development at the teacher team or teacher level is not coordinated by the ILT. ILT decision-making is carried out in isolation, or without a clear process for staff-wide engagement. ILT engages in changes to practice in response to voiced concerns. ILT analyzes student test data if new data is available. | knowledge and expertise needed to make decisions for all students and staff. • The ILT leads the work of improving teaching and learning school-wide • The ILT leads the school's approach to professional development – whole staff PD, teacher teams, and coaching. • The ILT facilitates two-way communication and engages all staff in participating in decision-making that advances the school's strategic focus. • The ILT engages in regular reflection upon its own team processes and effectiveness and takes actions to improve its functioning and progress towards school-wide goals. | 3. The ILT organizes and leads some whole staff professional development activities and occasionally gives input for the TT Meeting agendas. 4. Some members of the ILT facilitate two-way communication |
| Monitoring and adjusting | | 3 |
| Data for district assessments is occasionally analyzed at the school level, typically when new reports are made available. Analysis may lead to instructional practice. | The school has a systematic approach to analyzing data relative to the school's theory of action on an ongoing basis—at the school level, department/grade level, and classroom level—in order to make adjustments to their focus and to target support for particular teachers and students. | 1. The ILT analyzes district and Network assessments (ISAT, ARS-17, ASWD, AMAT, ASA, Scantron) and Attendance data according to the PM Schedule the GH Network created. The ILT analyzes data at the school and grade level, then during Teacher Team meetings teachers systematically analyze the data down to the classroom and student levels and action items are developed based on the results of the data analysis. |



School Effectiveness Framework

Instructions: Evaluate your school from 1-4 on each of the Effective Practices of the School Effectiveness Framework in the drop down box under "Evaluation". Cite evidence from observations, any available data, surveys, etc. NOTE: 2= Typical School and 4 = Effective School **TIP: When entering text, press Alt + Enter to start a new paragraph.**

| Typical School | Effective School | Evidence Evaluation |
|---|--|--|
| Curriculum | | |
| determined by the pacing set forth in instructional materials or by an individual teacher. • Each teacher develops his/her own units of instruction or follows what is suggested by the pacing provided in instructional materials. • Text used for instruction exposes some students to grade-appropriate complexity and is heavily focused on fiction. • Short- and long-term plans do not consistently differentiate by learner need. | sequence that maps out what Common Core or other state standards teachers should teach and in what order in core subject areas. • Each grade level or course team develops/uses common units of instruction aligned to the standards. • Text used for instruction exposes all students to a grade- | Curricular pacing/scope and sequence is determined by the district (math and science) and Network (ARS-17 for grades 3-8) and in grades K-3 the basal series influences (but does not determine) th pacing/scope and sequence. Some grade level teams and individual teachers develop units of instruction based on ILS or CCSS. Other teachers follow what is suggested by the instructional materials. Text used for instruction exposes some students to gradeappropriate complexity and in some grades instructional text is limited to textbooks and is focused on fiction. Curricular planning does not consistently support instruction for students with disabilities and ELLs. |
| Instructional materials | | |
| of the same grade/course or are focused mainly on a single textbook with little exposure to standards-aligned supplemental materials. | Each grade level or course team has a set of instructional materials that are aligned with standards. Instructional materials are supportive of students with disabilities as well as varying language proficiency levels of ELLs (including native language and bilingual supports). | 1. Lowell Elementary School uses CMSI recommended materials for math and science which are aligned with standards but need to be replenished. We use SCRMA (Reading Street/Lectura) Reading materials. Reading Street is aligned with ILS standards but Lectura for the ELL population is not aligned. 2. Lowell Elementary school supplies additional instructional materials housed in Room 208 including theme boxes and sets, realia, instructional games, audio books, etc. The resource room also houses novel sets and leveled readers that range in reading |

materials needed to help implement the Common Core State Standards in the upcoming school year.





School Effectiveness Framework

| Typical School | Effective School | Evidence Evaluation |
|---|---|--|
| Assessment | | > 2 |
| the kind of assessment tools available to them. Assessments are focused on a particular form of assessment and may not adequately provide a complete picture of student learning. Most assessments are designed to be identical for all students, without accommodation for learner need. | each assessment. • Each grade level or course team uses a comprehensive set of assessments – screening, diagnostic, benchmark, formative, and summative – to monitor student learning on a frequent basis. • Assessment methods (e.g., student work, selected response, constructed response, performance task) are aligned with the standard(s) being assessed (e.g., knowledge mastery, reasoning proficiency, performance skills, ability to create products). | School wide data is consistently available to the ILT and supplied by the ILT facilitator. Teachers have access to assessment data but it is not always accessed. Each teacher administers the required district and Network assessments but there are no additional grade-level or school-wide common assessments. Assessments are currently focused on a particular format and teachers are beginning to develop alternative assessment methods that are closely aligned to the CCSS. Assessment accommodations and modifications are in place for students with disabilities and are being developed for ELLs but the level of following the accommodations and modifications is inconsistent. |





School Effectiveness Framework

| Typical School | Effective School | Evidence Evaluation |
|---|--|--|
| Instruction | | > 2 |
| Communication of the learning objective is inconsistent or lesson objectives do not consistently align to standards. Questioning is more heavily aimed at assessing basic student understanding and comprehension. Sequencing of lessons in most classes is primarily driven by the pacing suggested in instructional materials. Instruction is most often delivered whole-group with few opportunities for scaffolding learning or the level of rigor is not consistently high. Formative assessment during instruction is used occasionally or inconsistently between teachers. | techniques that promote student thinking and understanding. • Each teacher purposefully sequences and aligns standards-based objectives to build towards deep understanding and mastery of the standards. • Each teacher scaffolds instruction to ensure all students, | Learning objectives are inconsistently communicated to the students and are aligned to either the instructional materials or to standards. Questioning is a combination of high- and low-level but it is still more heavily lower level ask-answer-assess types of questions. Sequencing of lessons in most classes is primarily driven by the pacing suggested by the district and/or Network and occasionally by student need as reflected in assessment data (i.e. reteaching). Instruction in primary grades is delivered in both whole- and small group to allow for reteaching and increased rigor. In grades 3-8 most instruction is delivered whole-group. Formative assessment is used inconsistently between teachers. |



School Effectiveness Framework

Instructions: Evaluate your school from 1-4 on each of the Effective Practices of the School Effectiveness Framework in the drop down box under "Evaluation". Cite evidence from observations, any available data, surveys, etc. NOTE: 2= Typical School and 4 = Effective School **TIP: When entering text, press Alt + Enter to start a new paragraph.**

| Typical School | Effective School | Evidence Evaluation |
|---|---|--|
| Intervention | | > 2 |
| Decision-making about how to determine which tudents are in need of intervention, what interventions they receive and how to determine the uccess of interventions is not regularly monitored. The intervention options are limited (sometimes one-ize-fits-all), making it difficult to find a targeted polution to address a particular student's needs. Intervention monitoring and adjustments are left to eacher discretion without school-wide systems. | The school has a systematic approach to administering screening assessments to identify students in need of academic intervention. The school has a systematic approach to administering diagnostic assessments to identify particular skills gaps. Interventions at the elementary level include in-class, small group instruction, push-in support provided by specialists, one on one support and additional supports outside of the classroom. Interventions at the secondary school level include small group instruction, double blocks in literacy and mathematics, push-in support provided by specialists, one on one support and additional supports outside of the classroom Interventions are closely monitored at the ILT, teacher team and individual teacher level so that adjustments can be made at least every 6 weeks. | 1. Lowell Elementary School uses DIBELS/IDEL and mClass Math as screening assessments in grades K-2 but grades 3-do not have a systematic approach to administering screening assessments to identify students in need of academic intervention. The school administers the Benchmark Assessment System (Fountas and Pinnell) to all students K-8. 2. Some teachers administer diagnostic assessments to identify skills gaps but there is not a systematic school-wide approach. 3. Interventions include in-class small-group and individual instruction by the teacher and push-in support by ancillary support. 4. Systematic monitoring of Response to Intervention folders by the Assistant Principal, Case Manager, and Literacy Coach began the 2011-2012 school year and the Response to the school of the stool o |
| Whole staff professional development | | > 2 |
| Whole staff professional development occurs regularly but is not tightly aligned to the school's priorities. Quality, effectiveness or relevance of professional development is not monitored. | The school has a year-long, focused plan for whole staff professional development aligned to school-wide priorities and growth goals. The school has a method for continually monitoring the effectiveness of all professional development (including coaching and teacher collaboration). School-wide structures ensure that professional development is ongoing, job-embedded and relevant to | 1. Whole staff professional development occurs regularly and is loosely aligned to the school's priorities. 2. Lowell Elementary School lacks a formal and systematic method of monitoring the effectiveness of PD. 3. School-wide structures such as common preparation periods and formal scheduled Teacher Team Meetings support PD that is relevated literacy practices and based on teacher needs but the school lackstructures to ensure ongoing PD for math, science, and special |

Date Stamp November 22, 2012

education.

teachers.

Professional Learning



School Effectiveness Framework

| | Typical School | Effective School | Evidence Evaluation |
|-----------|--|---|---|
| က | Grade-level and/or course teams | | > 3 |
| DIMENSION | Teachers meet regularly but it is focused on a mix of activities—planning, professional development, and data analysis—that may change from week to week. Teachers do not have a regular opportunity to discuss progress monitoring data to track effectiveness of student intervention. Ownership for student learning results lies primarily with individual teachers. Planning typically takes place with general education teachers only. Special education, bilingual or other specialists typically plan and meet separately or only join the group occasionally. There are meeting agendas, but no clear protocols or norms for discussion. | Teachers collaborate in regular cycles: quarterly for long-term unit planning, weekly to analyze formative assessment data and plan weekly instruction. Teachers and specialists meet approximately every six weeks to discuss progress-monitoring data for students receiving intervention. Teacher teams share ownership for results in student learning. Teams are inclusive of general education, special education, bilingual teachers and other specialists. Teams are supported by an ILT member, team leader, or "expert", as appropriate. Teachers have protocols or processes in place for team collaboration. | Grade level Teacher Teams formally meet regularly (every other week for a double period) but the meetings are a mix of activities (including "burning issues," data analysis, and PD). The schedule does not support course teams to meet with the same regularity. Teachers rarely discuss progress monitoring data to track the effectiveness of instruction and interventions. A few individual teachers take ownership of student learning results. Teacher Team Meetings and planning time in K-5 include Bilingual teachers and Special Education teachers; grades 6-8 planning is not cohesive across Bilingual Education, Special education, and content areas. Teacher Team meetings have agendas and clear protocols and norms. |
| | Instructional coaching | | > 2 |
| | Coaching typically takes place through informal associations or is only focused on a smaller group of teachers. Formal support for new teachers comes from district-sponsored induction. Professional development decisions are not systematized and left to teacher initiative/discretion. Teachers occasionally receive quality feedback to support individual growth. Peer observation and cross-classroom visitation happens occasionally, but not as an integral part of the school's plan for professional learning. | Every school has a coaching plan that identifies teacher needs, who provides the coaching, and how frequently. New teachers are provided with effective induction support. Teachers have individual professional development plans tailored to their needs. Teachers consistently receive quality feedback that supports their individual growth. Peer coaching and cross classroom visitation is also used as a form of coaching. | Instructional coaching is typically focused on the less effective teachers. Coaching for other teachers is usually available at the teacher's request. Support for new teachers is inconsistent and comes from a combination of a formal district-sponsored program and a school-based mentoring program. Outside/additional professional development (i.e. Math Trailblazers, Foss, etc.) is typically suggested by the Administration and left to teacher initiative. Teachers rarely receive feedback about instruction. Peer observation/visits is occasionally done by ILT members and is encouraged for the rest of the staff, but it is not a regular occurrence. |





School Effectiveness Framework

| Typical School | Effective School | Evidence | Evaluatio |
|--|--|---|---|
| High expectations & College-going culture | | > | 2 |
| students to aspire to college and career ready standards, or expectations are only reinforced for some students. | The school has developed and is executing an intentional | Most staff members establish the expectation for all aspire to college and career ready standards. The school offers High School Algebra for 8th grade spart of the college-going culture, but lacks an intentiona A few students have opportunities for authentic leads student voice through the Student Council in 7th and 8th | tudents as Il plan. ership and |
| Relationships | | > | 2 |
| Patterns of interaction between adults and students and among students are inconsistent Students with disabilities are typically confined to a special education classroom with few opportunities to interact with peers. Student home language and culture is often overlooked. | Patterns of interactions, both between adults and students and among students, are respectful, with appropriate, fair responses to disrespectful behavior Students with disabilities are engaged in the school community, including both physical and social integration. Students' classroom experiences demonstrate value of | advocate for them. | peacemake er, speakin ons. nic and nor |





School Effectiveness Framework

| Typical School | Effective School | Evidence Evaluat | tion |
|----------------|---|---|------|
| · · | The school has a common, consistent school-wide approach to student discipline and tiered approach to behavioral intervention that recognizes and builds on positive behavior. Staff establishes and maintains a safe, welcoming school environment. | Lowell Elementary School has established expectations for behavior and follows the CPS Uniform Code of Conduct for high offenses, but the lesser discipline issues and positive behavior supports are handled differently between teachers. Most of the staff contributes to a safe, welcoming school environment and most classrooms are conducive to learning. | er |





School Effectiveness Framework

| Typical School | Effective School | Evidence I | Evaluati |
|--|--|--|----------|
| Expectations | | > | 3 |
| Principal provides information to families on school performance in response to parent requests. Teachers provide information to families on their grading system, but families may be unclear on what successfully meeting the standard would look like. Families can learn about the transition process if they reach out to the school for information. | Principal provides clear information for families on school performance and accurately explains this information so that families understand its relevance to their children as well as the plan for improvement. Teachers provide clear information for families on what students are expected to achieve in a given grade level or course and examples of what meeting the standards looks like. Schools proactively provide information regarding school choices to families looking to relocate or to students in transition grades. | Principal provides information for families in response requests and at open houses, literacy nights, parent work through the school-wide data walls that are shared at reppickup. Some teachers explain the grade-level learning expect how the grading system reflects the grade-level standard Families can learn about other schools if they reach out school for the information. | ations a |
| Ongoing communication | | > | 3 |
| Communication to families is typically conducted only during report card pick-up and in cases of behavior/academic concerns. | Teachers and other school staff engage in ongoing, two-way communication with families so that they know how their child is doing relative to grade-level expectations and how the families can support their child's learning at home, but also so that school staff can learn from the families about their child's strengths and needs. | 1. All teachers communicate to families through monthly newsletters and many teachers consistently reach out to about student progress, learning, and behavior. | |





School Effectiveness Framework

| | Typical School | Effective School | Evidence Evaluation |
|---|--|--|--|
| Σ | families and community members to participate in authentic and engaging activities in the school community like student performances, exhibitions, literacy or math events, etc. | The principal leads the work to empower and motivate families and community to become engaged. | Lowell Elementary School has a clean, welcoming, and pleasant environment. There are opportunities for families and community members to attend activities such as band and choir performances, quarterly assemblies, award assemblies, and occasional curriculum nights. |





School Effectiveness Framework

| Typical School | Effective School | Evidence E | valuatio |
|--|--|--|----------------------------|
| Specialized support | | > | 3 |
| School provides required services to students within the school building/typical school hours. | School staff conducts intensive outreach to families in need of specialized support through home visits and collaboration with social services agencies. | 1. Lowell Elementary School staff and the Community School provides support and services such as free eye glasses, coreferrals for therapy, etc. both during and outside of school | unseling, |
| College & Career Exploration and election | | > | 2 |
| Information about college or career choices is provided. | The school provides early and ongoing exposure to experiences and information necessary to make informed decisions when selecting a college or career that connects to academic preparation and future aspirations. | Information about college or career choices is provided Explore testing and Junior Achievement. | d through |
| Academic Planning | | > | 2 |
| Support for college and career planning is provided for some students. Information and opportunities to explore paths of interest are limited. The school encourages high performing students to plan on taking advanced courses. | The school provides support for student planning, preparation, participation, and performance in their college and career aspirations and goals through a rigorous academic program and access to information and opportunities. (HS only) The school regularly evaluates rigorous coursetaking and performance patterns (e.g., AP) and removes barriers to access. | 1. Support for college and career planning is provided for students by the teachers, Case Manager, and other staff n through setting goals and having students monitor their o learning through data. 2. Lowell Elementary School encourages high performing take Advanced Placement and honors courses in High Sch | members own students |
| Enrichment & Extracurricular Engagement | | > | 3 |
| Extracurricular activities exist but may be limited in scope or students may not be purposefully involved in activities that align with their strengths and needs. | The school ensures equitable exposure to a wide range of extracurricular and enrichment opportunities that build leadership, nurture talents and interests, and increase engagement with school. | 1. Many extracurricular activities exist with a broad range interests and abilities (i.e. JR. R.O.T.C., band, choir, Lowell sports, Girl Scouts, etc.) | |





School Effectiveness Framework

| Typical School | Effective School | Evidence | Evaluation | | | |
|--|---|--|--------------------|--|--|--|
| College & Career Assessments> | | | | | | |
| Students do not participate in college and career ready assessments | The school promotes preparation, participation, and performance in college and career assessments. | 1. The students in eighth grade are introduced to the E prepare for it, and take the Explore test. | xplore test, | | | |
| College & Career Admissions and Affordability | | > | | | | |
| Students in 11th and 12th grade are provided information on college options , costs and financial aid. | • The school provides students and families with comprehensive information about college options and costs (HS only) The school ensures that students and families have an early and ongoing understanding of the college and career application and admission processes, including information on financial aid and scholarship eligibility. | | | | | |
| Transitions | | > | 3 | | | |
| • Transitions between key grades provide families with the required minimum paperwork/information. | The school works to ensure effective transitions—into Kindergarten, at each "benchmark" grade, and from 8th to 9th. (HS only) The school connects students to school and community resources to help them overcome barriers and ensure the successful transition from high school to college. | 1. Lowell Elementary School works to ease the transition to Kindergarten through a "walking field trip" to visit the Kindergarten classroom and on the last day of school, go to the new classroom, meet their new teacher, and recollists (and new in 2012) their summer packets. | e grades K-7 go | | | |





School Effectiveness Framework

| aligned to identified needs and priorities. • Outside funding or community partnerships are primarily limited to opportunities that present themselves to the school. • Funding of non-priority initiatives is common identified needs and strategic priorities. • School actively identifies and pursues opportunities to for outside funding or community partnerships to help meet student and staff needs. • School maintains focus on use of resources for the student and staff needs. align with identified needs and strategic priorities. 2. Lowell Elementary School actively identifies and pursues opportunities for outside funding or community partnership meet student and staff needs. 3. Lowell Elementary School maintains focus on use of resources for the student and staff needs. | Typical School | Effective School | Evidence Evaluati |
|--|---|---|---|
| identified needs and priorities. Outside funding or community partnerships are primarily limited to opportunities that present themselves to the school. Funding of non-priority initiatives is common throughout the year. School maintains focus on use of resources for the student and staff needs. School maintains focus on use of resources for the student and staff needs. School maintains focus on use of resources for the student and staff needs. School maintains focus on use of resources for the student and staff needs. School maintains focus on use of resources for the student and staff needs. School maintains focus on use of resources for the student and staff needs. School maintains focus on use of resources for the student and staff needs. School maintains focus on use of resources for the student and staff needs. School maintains focus on use of resources for the student and staff needs. School maintains focus on use of resources for the student and staff needs. School maintains focus on use of resources for the student and staff needs. School maintains focus on use of resources for the student and staff needs. School maintains focus on use of resources for the student and staff needs. School maintains focus on use of resources for the student and staff needs. School maintains focus on use of resources for the student and staff needs. School maintains focus on use of resources for the student and staff needs. Interview student and staff needs. Hiring is conducted after an assessment of student need, staff capacity and scheduling priorities. School actively identifies and pursues opportunities to denote student and staff needs. Hiring is conducted after an assessment of student need, staff capacity and scheduling priorities. School actively identifies and pursues opportunities to denote student and staff needs. Hiring is conducted according to the CPS policy and NCLB requirements. Many applicants have a previous connection to the school through recommendations, alumni, etc. Lowell cur | Use of Discretionary Resources | | > 4 |
| Hiring is conducted after a vacancy or expected vacancy is identified. All or nearly all applicants have little to no prior connection to the school. Interviews typically consist of an interview with the principal or a team from the school, but there are no opportunities to demonstrate knowledge or skill in the classroom. Hiring is conducted after an assessment of student need, staff capacity and scheduling priorities. School actively works to build a pool of potential staff members through internships and part-time work. A multistep interview process includes a protocol for questioning and classroom lesson demonstrations to assess candidate expertise, philosophy and commitment. Grade/course teams are not intentionally designed. Hiring is conducted according to the CPS policy and NCLB requirements. Many applicants have a previous connection to the school through recommendations, alumni, etc. Lowell currently have former students employed as aides and teachers. Interviews range from applicant to applicant; some include team, some include a model lesson. The school is developing protocol for interviewing and selecting from the applicants. Grade/course teams are assembled to include the needed combination of knowledge and expertise. | aligned to identified needs and priorities. Outside funding or community partnerships are primarily limited to opportunities that present themselves to the school. Funding of non-priority initiatives is common | identified needs and strategic priorities. School actively identifies and pursues opportunities to for outside funding or community partnerships to help meet student and staff needs. School maintains focus on use of resources for the student achievement growth necessary for every student to | Lowell Elementary School actively identifies and pursues opportunities for outside funding or community partnerships to h meet student and staff needs. Lowell Elementary School maintains focus on use of resources the student achievement growth necessary for every student to |
| staff capacity and scheduling priorities. All or nearly all applicants have little to no prior connection to the school. Interviews typically consist of an interview with the principal or a team from the school, but there are no apportunities to demonstrate knowledge or skill in the classroom. A multistep interview process includes a protocol for questioning and classroom lesson demonstrations to assess candidate expertise, philosophy and commitment. Grade/course teams are not intentionally designed. Staff capacity and scheduling priorities. School actively works to build a pool of potential staff members through internships and part-time work. A multistep interview process includes a protocol for questioning and classroom lesson demonstrations to assess candidate expertise, philosophy and commitment. Grade/course teams are assembled to include the needed combination of knowledge and expertise. School actively works to build a pool of potential staff members through internships and part-time work. A multistep interview process includes a protocol for questioning and classroom lesson demonstrations to assess candidate expertise, philosophy and commitment. Grade/course teams are not intentionally designed. | Building a Team | | > 3 |
| All or nearly all applicants have little to no prior connection to the school. Interviews typically consist of an interview with the principal or a team from the school, but there are no apportunities to demonstrate knowledge or skill in the classroom. Grade/course teams are not intentionally designed. School actively works to build a pool of potential staff members through internships and part-time work. A multistep interview process includes a protocol for questioning and classroom lesson demonstrations to assess candidate expertise, philosophy and commitment. Grade/course teams are assembled to include the needed combination of knowledge and expertise. | Hiring is conducted after a vacancy or expected | | Hiring is conducted according to the CPS policy and NCLB |
| members through internships and part-time work. Interviews typically consist of an interview with the principal or a team from the school, but there are no opportunities to demonstrate knowledge or skill in the classroom. Grade/course teams are not intentionally designed. members through internships and part-time work. A multistep interview process includes a protocol for questioning and classroom lesson demonstrations to assess candidate expertise, philosophy and commitment. Grade/course teams are assembled to include the needed combination of knowledge and expertise. through recommendations, alumni, etc. Lowell currently has former students employed as aides and teachers. Interviews range from applicant to applicant; some include a model lesson. The school is developing protocol for interviewing and selecting from the applicants. Grade/course teams are not intentionally designed. | • | | l ' |
| • Interviews typically consist of an interview with the principal or a team from the school, but there are no principal or a team from the school, but there are no proportunities to demonstrate knowledge or skill in the classroom. • Grade/course teams are not intentionally designed. • A multistep interview process includes a protocol for questioning and classroom lesson demonstrations to assess candidate expertise, philosophy and commitment. • Grade/course teams are assembled to include the needed combination of knowledge and expertise. • Grade/course teams are not intentionally designed. • A multistep interview process includes a protocol for questioning and classroom lesson demonstrations to assess candidate expertise, philosophy and commitment. • Grade/course teams are assembled to include the needed combination of knowledge and expertise. • A multistep interview process includes a protocol for questioning and classroom lesson demonstrations to assess and teachers. 3. Interviews range from applicant to applicant; some include a model lesson. The school is developing protocol for interviewing and selecting from the applicants. 4. All positions are filled according to certifications and | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| orincipal or a team from the school, but there are no opportunities to demonstrate knowledge or skill in the classroom. orincipal or a team from the school, but there are no opportunities to demonstrate knowledge or skill in team, some include a model lesson. The school is developing to candidate expertise, philosophy and commitment. orincipal or a team from the school, but there are no opportunities to demonstrate knowledge or skill in team, some include a model lesson. The school is developing protocol for interviewing and selecting from the applicants. orincipal or a team from the school, but there are no opportunities to demonstrate knowledge or skill in team, some include a model lesson. The school is developing protocol for interviewing and selecting from the applicants. orincipal or a team from the school, but there are no opportunities to demonstrate knowledge or skill in team, some include a model lesson. The school is developing to candidate expertise, philosophy and commitment. orincipal or a team from the school, but there are no opportunities to demonstrate knowledge or skill in team, some include a model lesson. The school is developing to candidate expertise, philosophy and commitment. orincipal or a team from the school, but there are no opportunities to demonstrate knowledge or skill in team, some include a model lesson. The school is developing to candidate expertise, philosophy and commitment. orincipal or a team from the school is developing to candidate expertise, philosophy and commitment. orincipal or a team from the school is developing to candidate expertise, philosophy and commitment. orincipal | | | |
| candidate expertise, philosophy and commitment. the classroom. Grade/course teams are not intentionally designed. candidate expertise, philosophy and commitment. Grade/course teams are assembled to include the needed combination of knowledge and expertise. team, some include a model lesson. The school is developing protocol for interviewing and selecting from the applicants. 4. All positions are filled according to certifications and | ** * | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| • Grade/course teams are assembled to include the needed combination of knowledge and expertise. • Grade/course teams are assembled to include the needed combination of knowledge and expertise. • Grade/course teams are assembled to include the needed combination of knowledge and expertise. | • | | |
| • Grade/course teams are not intentionally designed. combination of knowledge and expertise. 4. All positions are filled according to certifications and | | | |
| | | | r · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| endoisements to adhere to NCLB mandates. | • Grade/course teams are not intentionally designed. | combination of knowledge and expertise. | |
| | | | endorsements to duniere to Wells mandates. |
| | | | |
| | | | |





School Effectiveness Framework

| Typical School | Effective School | Evidence Evaluation | |
|---|--|--|--|
| School schedule is designed based on number of | School designs a "right fit" schedule based on student | 1. School schedule is designed primarily based on the minimum | |
| minutes per subject or course. | needs and school-wide growth goals. | number of minutes per subject. | |
| • Teacher collaboration time is limited or occurs only | The school schedule allows for regular, meaningful | 2. Teacher Team Meetings are scheduled for two periods every two | |
| before/after school. | collaboration in teacher teams. weeks. | | |
| Intervention for struggling students happens at the | Struggling students receive structured intervention in | 3. Intervention for struggling students happens at the initiative of | |
| discretion/initiative of individual teachers, during core | dedicated blocks. | individual teachers during instructional time. | |
| courses. | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

2012-2014 Continuous Improvement Work Plan

James Russell Lowell Elementary School



Mission & Strategic Priorities

Instructions: Write in your Mission Statement. Using your key levers from the Theory of Action, develop 3 - 5 strategic priorities you will focus on over the next two years. Provide a Rationale using these guiding questions: What data (student achievement, school effectiveness framework, etc.) did you use to determine the priority? How does this priority impact instruction? How does this priority help you to achieve your goals? Tip: When entering text, press Alt+Enter to start a new paragraph.

Mission Statement

We are all responsible for developing the whole child academically, emotionally, socially and physically through the efforts of a dedicated staff and members of the wider community. And through a dynamic curriculum. As a staff, we encourage Lowell students and teachers to pursue academic excellence as well as develop personal and social responsibility by modeling positive behaviors.

| Strate | Strategic Priorities | | | | | | |
|--------|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| # | Priority Description: Write in the description of your priority. | Rationale: Write in your rationale (see instructions for guiding questions). | | | | | |
| 1 | Increase Teacher Leadership and teacher ownership of student achievement. | According to <i>Dimension 1: Leadership</i> in our SEF, Teacher Leadership is at a very basic level with most decisions and "work" done by a few teachers. It is also observed that many teachers outside of the ILT either attribute low achievement to factors outside of instruction or feel they are the teachers of only one target group of students and do not share ownership of the achievement of all students, including ELLs, SPED modifications. | | | | | |
| 2 | Provide quality instruction for all students (including sped and ELLs) that is aligned to CCSS and that utilizes research-based instructional strategies, multiple opportunities for formative assessments/progress monitoring, opportunities to adjust the instruction based on assessment/progress monitoring data, includes accommodations/modifications for ELLs and students with disablilities, and addresses multiple learning modes. | According to our SEF, <i>Dimension 2: Core Instruction</i> is equal to that of a "Typical School". According to the 2011 School Scorecard, on the ISAT 69% of our students are meeting or exceeding standards in Reading and 76.1% of our students are meeting or exceeding standards in Math. According to our 2011-2012 MOY DIBELS, According to our 2011-2012 MOY mClass Math, | | | | | |
| 3 | Provide differentiated professional learning for ALL teachers through systematic professional development that is aligned with the school goals and incorporates structured coaching and feedback cycles as well as an accountability system for the professional learning. | According to our SEF, <i>Dimension 3: Professional Learning</i> , there is a disconnect among PD, coaching, Special Education, ELLs and paraprofessionals providing effective support to students. | | | | | |
| 5 | Optional Optional | | | | | | |





Strategic Priority 1

Instructions: Develop milestones for each strategic priority that you will implement. Milestones are significant steps that a school must accomplish in the implementation of the strategic priority. Milestones are SMART (Specific, Measurable, Attainable, Realistic, and Time-bound). Indicate the category and group of students to which the milestone applies, the responsible party and the timeline. You will update the status and next steps throughout the year as part of your continuous improvement cycle.

| Strategic Priority Description | Rationale |
|--------------------------------|--|
| | According to Dimension 1: Leadership in our SEF, Teacher Leadership is at a very basic level with most decisions |
| | and "work" done by a few teachers. It is also observed that many teachers outside of the ILT either attribute low achievement to factors outside of instruction or feel they are the teachers of only one target group of students |
| | and do not share ownership of the achievement of all students, including ELLs, SPED modifications. |

Action Plan Monitoring

| Milestones | Category | Target Group | Responsible Party | Start | Completed | Status | Comments & Next Steps |
|---|----------|-----------------|---------------------------------|-------------|-------------|--------|-----------------------|
| Develop and consistently utilize a comprehensive hiring protocol that includes a team of teachers, LC, BLT, questions, a rating system for applicants, and concrete expectations for applicants. | Staffing | Not Applicable | Administration, ILT, LC, BLT | Summer 2012 | Summer 2012 | | |
| Re-evaluate committees and delete or add committees as needed and establish clear expectations, duties, and outcomes for each committee. | Other | Not Applicable | Administration, ILT, LC, BLT | Summer 2012 | Quarter 1 | | |
| Establish roles for a grade-cluster representative position with the purpose of giving teachers ownership of student achievement. This position would include leading cluster meetings, dispursing and collecting necessary documents, being a liason for the grade level cluster, etc. | Other | Not Applicable | Administration, ILT, LC, BLT | Summer 2012 | Quarter 1 | | |
| Appoint a grade-cluster representative (who is not on the ILT) for the position and training the person for the position. | Other | Not Applicable | Administration, ILT, LC, BLT | Quarter 1 | Quarter 1 | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |





| Strategic Priority 1 | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |





Strategic Priority 2

Instructions: Develop milestones for each strategic priority that you will implement. Milestones are significant steps that a school must accomplish in the implementation of the strategic priority. Milestones are SMART (Specific, Measurable, Attainable, Realistic, and Time-bound). Indicate the category and group of students to which the milestone applies, the responsible party and the timeline. You will update the status and next steps throughout the year as part of your continuous improvement cycle.

Strategic Priority Description

Provide quality instruction for all students (including sped and ELLs) that is aligned to CCSS and that utilizes research- According to our SEF, Dimension 2: Core Instruction is equal to that of a "Typical School". According to the 2011 based instructional strategies, multiple opportunities for formative assessments/progress monitoring, opportunities School Scorecard, on the ISAT 69% of our students are meeting or exceeding standards in Reading and 76.1% of to adjust the instruction based on assessment/progress monitoring data, includes accommodations/modifications for ELLs and students with disablilities, and addresses multiple learning modes.

Rationale

our students are meeting or exceeding standards in Math. According to our 2011-2012 MOY DIBELS, According to our 2011-2012 MOY mClass Math.

Action Plan Monitoring

| Milestones | Category | Target Group | Responsible Party | Start | Completed | Status | Comments & Next Steps |
|--|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|----------------|----------------|--------|-----------------------|
| Four selected teachers will attend a training on creating | Professional | All | The teachers chosen | Summer 2012 | Summer 2012 | | |
| CCSS based units of instruction. | Development | All | to attend | Julillier 2012 | Julillier 2012 | | |
| The teachers who attended the Network training on CCSS units of instruction will lead grade cluster teams in developing and implementing quarterly plans for each grade. | ILT/ Teacher Teams | All | Entire staff | Summer 2012 | Quarter 4 | | |
| Provide PD and coaching for differentiating instruction for ELLs and students with disabilities. | Professional Development | All | ILT, Case Manager, BLT | Quarter 1 | Quarter 1 | | |
| Establish a schedule of reviewing and analyzing progress monitoring data so teachers can adjust instruction/interventions. | Other | Not Applicable | RtI Team | Quarter 1 | On-going | | |
| Rtl team support for interventions and paperwork every week | Other | Not Applicable | RtI Team and Literacy Coach | Quarter 1 | Quarter 4 | | |
| Implement Heggerty for Phonemic Awareness Daily for K-2 (general, ELL, and Special education classrooms) | Instruction | All | K-2 Teachers | Quarter 1 | On-going | | |
| Implement with fidelity Sing, Spell, Read, Write in all K-2 classrooms | Instruction | All | K-2 Teachers | Quarter 1 | On-going | | |
| Schedule for an uninterrupted literacy block | Other | All | Administration, Literacy Coach, Bilingual Lead Teacher | Summer 2012 | Quarter 1 | | |
| School-based after school/or Saturday booster program for low performing students, and enrichment program for students at Meets and Exceeds. | After School/ Extended Day | All | Administration, Literacy Coach, Bilingual Lead Teacher, ILT | Quarter 2 | Quarter 4 | | |
| Hire extra prep teacher so the Bilingual Lead Teacher can support ELL students in both pull-out and push-in situations on a consistent basis and give ELD support | Staffing | English Language Learners | Administration | Summer 2012 | Quarter 1 | | |
| Daily visits/observations to classrooms by administration. | Instruction | All | Administration | Quarter 1 | Quarter 4 | | |
| Targeted remediation through coaching for ineffective teachers. | Instruction | All | Literacy Coach/BLT/Consulta nts | Quarter 1 | Quarter 4 | | |



2012-2014 Continuous Improvement Work Plan

James Russell Lowell Elementary School



| Strategic Priority 2 | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|-------------|-------------|--|
| <u> </u> | | | | | | |
| Provide PD and coaching on instructional strategies to | Professional | All | Literacy Coach and | Quarter 1 | Quarter 3 | |
| teach instructional/academic vocabulary | Development | | BLT | | | |
| Provide PD and coaching on instructional strategies to | Professional | All | Literacy Coach and | Quarter 1 | Quarter 4 | |
| teach ARS-17 Skills Provide PD and coaching on Bloom's Taxonomy and | Development Professional | | Network ISLs Literacy Coach and | | | |
| increasing "rigor"/cognitive complexity of instruction | Development | All | Network ISLs | Quarter 1 | Quarter 4 | |
| Provide test-taking strategies through the use of the ISAT | Instructional | | Literacy Coach and | | | |
| Coach for grades 3-8 | Materials | All | Administration | Summer 2012 | Quarter 1 | |
| Coacii ioi grades 5-6 | Instructional | | Assistant Principal | | | |
| Inventory Crossroads (CCSS coach) | Materials | Not Applicable | and Literacy Coach | Summer 2012 | Summer 2012 | |
| Provide CCSS support through the use of the ISAT Coach for | Instructional | | Literacy Coach and | | | |
| grades 3-8 Inventory Crossroads (CCSS coach) for grades 3- | | Not Applicable | Administration | Summer 2012 | Quarter 1 | |
| 8 | Materials | | Administration | | | |
| Provide High School Algebra for qualifying 8th Grade | | Other student | | | | |
| Students | Instruction | group | Ms. Salgado | Quarter 1 | Quarter 4 | |
| Inventory Non-Negotiables Explore test prep books | Instructional | Not Applicable | Assistant Principal | Summer 2012 | Summer 2012 | |
| mrenter, then regardance express test prop social | Materials | . rot rippinousie | and Literacy Coach | | | |
| Provide Explore test preparation with Non-negotiables | Instruction | All | 7th and 8th grade teachers | Quarter 1 | Quarter 4 | |
| Continue administering and analyzing the interim | | | Teachers, Literacy | | | |
| assessments provided by the Network (ARS-17, ASWD, | Instruction | All | Coach | Quarter 1 | Quarter 4 | |
| AMAT, ASA, etc.) | | | Coacii | | | |
| Purchase Scholastic periodicals and newspapers to expose | Instructional | All | Principal | Quarter 1 | Quarter 4 | |
| students to non-fiction. | Materials | 7.11 | Timelpui | Quarter 1 | Quarter 4 | |
| Increase students' reading comprehension by purchasing | | | | | | |
| licenses to continue Achieve 3000 for grades 3-8, Reading | | | | | | |
| Eggs for grades K-2, Imagine Learning for ELLs and Teacher | Equipment/ | All | Principal | Quarter 1 | Quarter 4 | |
| Web for teachers (classroom website for parent/teacher | Technology | | · | | | |
| communication.) | | | | | | |
| | | 1 | | | I | |





Strategic Priority 3

Instructions: Develop milestones for each strategic priority that you will implement. Milestones are significant steps that a school must accomplish in the implementation of the strategic priority. Milestones are SMART (Specific, Measurable, Attainable, Realistic, and Time-bound). Indicate the category and group of students to which the milestone applies, the responsible party and the timeline. You will update the status and next steps throughout the year as part of your continuous improvement cycle.

| Strategic Priority Description | Rationale |
|---|--|
| Provide differentiated professional learning for ALL teachers through systematic professional development that is aligned with the school goals and incorporates structured coaching and feedback cycles as well as an accountability system for the professional learning. | According to our SEF, Dimension 3: Professional Learning, there is a disconnect among PD, coaching, Special Education, ELLs and paraprofessionals providing effective support to students. |

Action Plan Monitoring

| Milestones | Category | Target Group | Responsible Party | Start | Completed | Status | Comments & Next Steps |
|---|-------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------------|-------------|-----------|--------|-----------------------|
| Develop a year long PD plan that is aligned with the school goals. | Other | Not Applicable | Literacy Coach and ILT | Summer 2012 | Quarter 1 | | |
| Monitor the application of professional learning | Other | Not Applicable | ILT, LC, and Administration | Quarter 1 | On-going | | |
| Take a needs assessment to determine individual teachers' learning plan. | Other | Not Applicable | ILT | Quarter 1 | On-going | | |
| Establish a schedule of reviewing and analyzing progress monitoring data for students receiving interventions and to review Rtl folders so teachers can adjust instruction/interventions. | Other | Not Applicable | Case Manager, LC, and Rtl Team | Quarter 1 | On-going | | |
| Establish and follow protocols for weekly structured teacher team meetings for collaboration, planning, and analyzing progress monitoring data. | ILT/ Teacher Teams | All | Literacy Coach, ILT and Teacher Teams | Summer 2012 | On-going | | |
| Implement a coaching structure that includes timely feedback according to established protocols. | Other | All | Literacy Coach and ILT | Quarter 1 | On-going | | |
| Identify an individual and Establish a schedule of math coaching/support for K-5 so to ensure fidelity of implementation and pacing , use of effective instructional strategies, modifications and accommodations are being met for all students. | After School/ Extended Day | All | Administration and ILT | Quarter 1 | On-going | | |
| Provide PD and coaching for differentiating instruction for ELLs and students with disabilities. | Professional Development | All | ILT, Case Manager, BLT | Quarter 1 | Quarter 1 | | |
| Provide PD and coaching on instructional strategies to teach instructional/academic vocabulary | Professional Development | All | Literacy Coach and BLT | Quarter 1 | Quarter 3 | | |
| Provide PD and coaching on instructional strategies to teach ARS-17 Skills | Professional Development | All | Literacy Coach and Network ISLs | Quarter 1 | Quarter 4 | | |
| Provide PD and coaching on Bloom's Taxonomy and increasing "rigor"/cognitive complexity of instruction | Professional Development | All | Literacy Coach and Network ISLs | Quarter 1 | Quarter 4 | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |



Version 03/12

James Russell Lowell Elementary School



| Strategic Priority 3 | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |





Strategic Priority 4

Instructions: Develop milestones for each strategic priority that you will implement. Milestones are significant steps that a school must accomplish in the implementation of the strategic priority. Milestones are SMART (Specific, Measurable, Attainable, Realistic, and Time-bound). Indicate the category and group of students to which the milestone applies, the responsible party and the timeline. You will update the status and next steps throughout the year as part of your continuous improvement cycle.

| Strategic Priority Description | Rationale |
|--------------------------------|-----------|
| | |
| | |

Action Plan Monitoring

| Milestones | Category | Target Group | Responsible Party | Start | Completed | Status | Comments & Next Steps |
|------------|----------|-----------------|----------------------|-------|-----------|--------|-----------------------|
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |





Strategic Priority 5

Instructions: Develop milestones for each strategic priority that you will implement. Milestones are significant steps that a school must accomplish in the implementation of the strategic priority. Milestones are SMART (Specific, Measurable, Attainable, Realistic, and Time-bound). Indicate the category and group of students to which the milestone applies, the responsible party and the timeline. You will update the status and next steps throughout the year as part of your continuous improvement cycle.

| Strategic Priority Description | Rationale |
|--------------------------------|-----------|
| | |
| | |

Action Plan Monitoring

| Milestones | Category | Target Group | Responsible Party | Start | Completed | Status | Comments & Next Steps |
|------------|----------|-----------------|----------------------|-------|-----------|--------|-----------------------|
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |