

Pilsen-Little Village Elementary Network 2332 S Western Ave Chicago, IL 60608

ISBE ID: 150162990252893

School ID: 609967 Oracle ID: 23541



#### **Mission Statement**

It is our mission to prepare our students to become literate, critical thinkers that are prepared for success in high school, college, and careers. This will be accomplished by implementing a challenging curriculum aligned to the common core standards. We will foster academic growth and achievement using best instructional practices.

#### **Strategic Priorities**

- 1. Teachers will use guided reading and small group instruction to meet the different needs of learners in a given classroom.
- 2. Teachers will develop rigorous performance assessments and curricula that align with the Common Core State Standards.
- 3. #REF!

#### **School Performance Goals**

#### **Literacy Performance Goals Math Performance Goals** ■ SY2011 ■ SY2012 ■ SY2013 ■ SY2014 SY2011 SY2012 SY2013 SY2014 100% 100% 90% 80% 70% 60% 50% 40% 30% 20% 10% 58.6 64.0 69.0 74.0 90% 80% 44.9 49.0 54.0 59.0 70% 34.8 39.0 44.0 49.0 33.1 38.0 43.0 48.0 60% 25.2 30.0 35.0 40.0 25.7 30.0 35.0 40.0 50% 17.1 <sup>22.0</sup> <sup>27.0</sup> <sup>32.0</sup> 40% 30% 20% 10% Early Math Gr3-5 Math Gr6-8 Math **Gr8 Explore** Early Literacy Gr3-5 Reading **Gr6-8 Reading Gr8 Explore**



# Continuous Improvement Work Plan 2012 - 2014



#### **Overview**

The Continuous Improvement Work Plan (CIWP) is a stream-lined, strategic planning process for schools that also meets the state and federal requirements of a school improvement plan. The CIWP uses previous goal and priority setting completed by the schools from the Scorecard metrics, School Effectiveness Framework and Theory of Action. Please see the CIWP Planning Guide at www.cps.edu/CIWP for detailed instructions on completing the tool.

School Name	
To get started, please select your school's name from the drop down list:	William F Finkl Elementary School

### **Developing a CIWP Team**

A CIWP team consists of 6 – 12 committed stakeholders that act as the steering committee for the entire CIWP planning process. The principal should serve as the chairperson of the CIWP Team, appointing other team members from the school and community, which can include members from the ILT and/or LSC. These CIWP Team members should have strengths in collaboration and consensus-building. While the CIWP Team needs to remain small, it should include people with a variety of perspectives.

CIWP Team	
Name (Print)	Title/Relationship
Kellie Capiak	Lead/ Resource Teacher
Maura Murtaugh	Assistant Principal
Abelino Quintero	Principal
Alma Lamas	ELL Teacher
Diana Rojas	ELL Teacher
Sarah Izzo	ELL Teacher
Robert Sabo	Special Education Faculty
Christine Cummings	Classroom Teacher
Tressey Zec	Classroom Teacher
Karla Russell	Classroom Teacher
Lourdes Martinez	Classroom Teacher
Gloria Moran	LSC Member





# **Elementary Goal Setting**

**Instructions:** Your school's data is organized by Scorecard categories. Using your current performance data and your SY2012 goals, determine the SY2013 & SY2014 performance goals for each metric. Note: ISAT scores include all students in the aggregates, including English Language Learners.

### **Academic Achievement**

Pre-K - 2nd Grade	SY2011 Score	SY2012 Goal	SY2013 Goal	SY2014 Goal		SY2011 Score	SY2012 Goal	S)
<b>Literacy</b> Students at Benchmark on DIBELS,	58.6	64.0	69.0	74.0	Early Math % of students at Benchmark on mClass	NDA		
rd - 5th Grade								
rade Level Performance - Reading of students at or above grade level n Scantron/NWEA	25.2	30.0	35.0	40.0	Grade Level Performance - Math % of students at or above grade level on Scantron/NWEA	33.1	38.0	43.0
eeping Pace - Reading of students making growth targets n Scantron/NWEA	53.6	58.0	63.0	68.0	Keeping Pace - Math % of students making growth targets on Scantron/NWEA	47.8	53.0	58.0
5th - 8th Grade								
rade Level Performance - Reading of students at or above grade level a Scantron/NWEA	34.8	39.0	44.0	49.0	Grade Level Performance - Math % of students at or above grade level on Scantron/NWEA	44.9	49.0	54.0
eeping Pace - Reading of students making growth targets n Scantron/NWEA	50.8	56.0	61.0	66.0	Keeping Pace - Math % of students making growth targets on Scantron/NWEA	48.4	54.0	59.0
Sth Grade								
xplore - Reading 6 of students at college readiness enchmark	25.7	30.0	35.0	40.0	Explore - Math % of students at college readiness benchmark	17.1	22.0	27.0





# **Elementary Goal Setting**

**Instructions:** Your school's data is organized by Scorecard categories. Using your current performance data and your SY2012 goals, determine the SY2013 & SY2014 performance goals for each metric. Note: ISAT scores include all students in the aggregates, including English Language Learners.

### **Climate & Culture**

All Grades	SY2011	SY2012 Goal	SY2013 Goal	SY2014 Goal		SY2011	SY2012 Goal	SY2013 Goal	SY2014 Goal
Attendance Rate Average daily attendance rate	95.2	95.7	96.2	96.7	Misconducts Rate of Misconducts (any) per 100	6.7	6.1	5.6	5.1

### **State Assessment**

All Grades % Meets & Exceeds	SY2011 Score	SY2012 Goal	SY2013 Goal	SY2014 Goal	All Grades % Exceeds	SY2011 Score	SY2012 Goal	SY2013 Goal	SY2014 Goal
ISAT - Reading % of students meeting or exceeding state standards	68.3	73.0	78.0	83.0	<ul><li>ISAT - Reading</li><li>% of students exceeding state</li><li>standards</li></ul>	9.6	12.0	15.0	18.0
<ul><li>ISAT - Mathematics</li><li>% of students meeting or exceeding state standards</li></ul>	77.3	80.0	83.0	86.0	<ul><li>ISAT - Mathematics</li><li>% of students exceeding state</li><li>standards</li></ul>	19.2	21.0	24.0	27.0
ISAT - Science % of students meeting or exceeding state standards	64.4	67.0	70.0	73.0	ISAT - Science % of students exceeding state standards	7.6	9.0	11.0	13.0



### School Effectiveness Framework

Instructions: Evaluate your school from 1-4 on each of the Effective Practices of the School Effectiveness Framework in the drop down box under "Evaluation". Cite evidence from observations, any available data, surveys, etc. NOTE: 2= Typical School and 4 = Effective School TIP: When entering text, press Alt + Enter to start a new paragraph.

Typical School	Effective School	<b>Evidence Evalua</b>	tion
Goals and theory of action	<del></del>	2	
The school has established goals for student	The school has established clear, measurable goals for	We have established clear, measurable goals for student	
achievement that are aimed at making incremental	student achievement aimed at aggressively narrowing the	achievement at the school and grade level. We need to transl	ate
growth and narrowing of achievement gaps.	achievement gap and ensuring college and career readiness	those goals to the classroom level.	
The school has a plan but may have too many	of all students at the school, grade, and classroom levels.		
competing priorities.	The school has established a clear theory of action or	We have a clear theory of action that outlines the school's prio	ritie
	strategic plan that outlines the school's priorities (derived	and key levers. However, we need to develop a better evaluat	
	from analysis of data) and key levers along with the	plan that allows us to accurately determine the impact our key	r
	anticipated impact when implemented with fidelity.	levers are having on student achievement.	
Principal Leadership	<del></del>	2	
<ul> <li>Professional learning is organized through whole</li> </ul>	Principal creates a professional learning system that	Switched from grade-level meetings to cluster level meetings f	or
staff development but it is not tightly linked to what	evaluates teacher need and interest and builds	departmental grades. Cluster level meetings enable us to build	t
happens in teacher team meetings or 1:1 coaching	opportunities for growth in content knowledge and	professional learning capacity that targets reading and math.	
cycles.	leadership		
• Principal monitors instructional practice for teacher	• Principal clarifies a vision for instructional best practice,	Learning Cycles have created a structure that enables staff	
evaluations.	works with each staff member to determine goals and	meetings, ILT meetings, grade-level meetings, and cluster level	ĺ
School-wide or class specific vision is not	benchmarks, monitors quality and drives continuous	meetings to focus on our powerful practice.	
consistently focused on college and career	improvement.		
readiness		We have improved our communication with parents on studer	ıt
<ul> <li>Principal provides basic information for families on</li> </ul>	career readiness through clarity of vision, internal and	progress by implementing weekly communication regarding	
school events and responds to requests for	external communications and establishment of systems to	student work, homework, class assignments, and behavior. Th	is
information. Families and community are engaged	support students in understanding and reaching these	year we have distributed Scantron / DIBELS reports after each	
through occasional school-wide events such as open	goals.	assessment.	
houses or curriculum nights.	Principal creates a system for empowered families and		
	communities through accurate information on school		
	performance, clarity on student learning goals, and		

opportunities for involvement.





# **School Effectiveness Framework**

Effective School	Evidence	Evaluation
	>	2
limited to): -ILT membership -Grade/Course team lead - RtI team -Committee chair or membership -Mentor teacher -Curriculum team -Coach -Family liaison -Data team -Bilingual lead -SIPAAA/CWIP team -Union representative -Grant writer  • Each teacher has equity of voice in grade/course, ILT and whole staff meetings	Our strategic lever for the 2011-2012 school year was after a whole staff brainstorming session.  Teachers share learning about effective practices (guid differentiated instruction, and student rigor) through be peer observations.  All teachers contribute to decision-making at the teach level. However, we do have a core group of teachers with multiple leadership duties in the school. Going forward expand the number of teachers who perform leadership the school level.	identified led reading, oi-weekly ner team who perform d, we need to
	• Each teacher is invested in the success of the school through leadership in one or more areas, including (but not limited to): -ILT membership -Grade/Course team lead - Rtl team -Committee chair or membership -Mentor teacher -Curriculum team -Coach -Family liaison -Data team -Bilingual lead -SIPAAA/CWIP team -Union representative -Grant writer • Each teacher has equity of voice in grade/course, ILT and	• Each teacher is invested in the success of the school through leadership in one or more areas, including (but not limited to):  -ILT membership -Grade/Course team lead - Rtl team -Committee chair or membership -Mentor teacher -Curriculum team -Coach -Family liaison -Data team -Bilingual lead -SIPAAA/CWIP team -Union representative -Grant writer  • Each teacher is invested in the success of the school through the school form of the 2011-2012 school year was after a whole staff brainstorming session.  Teachers share learning about effective practices (guid differentiated instruction, and student rigor) through the peer observations.  All teachers contribute to decision-making at the teach level. However, we do have a core group of teachers we will ple leadership duties in the school. Going forware expand the number of teachers who perform leadersh the school level.  **Teachers share learning about effective practices (guid differentiated instruction, and student rigor) through the peer observations.  **All teachers contribute to decision-making at the teach level. However, we do have a core group of teachers we expand the number of teachers who perform leadersh the school level.  **Teachers share learning about effective practices (guid differentiated instruction, and student rigor) through the peer observations.  **All teachers contribute to decision-making at the teach level. However, we do have a core group of teachers we expand the number of teachers who perform leadersh the school level.





# **School Effectiveness Framework**

**Instructions:** Evaluate your school from 1-4 on each of the Effective Practices of the School Effectiveness Framework in the drop down box under "Evaluation". Cite evidence from observations, any available data, surveys, etc. NOTE: 2= Typical School and 4 = Effective School **TIP: When entering text, press Alt + Enter to start a new paragraph.** 

Typical School	Effective School	Evidence	Evaluation
Instructional Leadership Team (ILT)		>	2
<ul> <li>The ILT represents some or most grade levels or departments, but may not include critical areas of expertise, like special education, bilingual education or counseling.</li> <li>The ILT splits time and focus between improving teaching and learning and solving day-to-day operational concerns.</li> <li>The ILT organizes some whole staff professional development activities. Development at the teacher team or teacher level is not coordinated by the ILT. ILT decision-making is carried out in isolation, or without a clear process for staff-wide engagement.</li> <li>ILT engages in changes to practice in response to voiced concerns.</li> <li>ILT analyzes student test data if new data is available.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The school's ILT is assembled based on the combination of knowledge and expertise needed to make decisions for all students and staff.</li> <li>The ILT leads the work of improving teaching and learning school-wide</li> <li>The ILT leads the school's approach to professional development – whole staff PD, teacher teams, and coaching.</li> <li>The ILT facilitates two-way communication and engages all staff in participating in decision-making that advances the school's strategic focus.</li> <li>The ILT engages in regular reflection upon its own team processes and effectiveness and takes actions to improve its functioning and progress towards school-wide goals.</li> <li>The ILT regularly analyzes qualitative and quantitative data to monitor the implementation of school's plan and make adjustments accordingly</li> </ul>	The ILT represents all grade-levels and includes bilingue education teachers.  The ILT facilitates communication from administration level teams but does not necessarily facilitate two-way communication and engage all staff in decision-making. The ILT assists with professional development (i.e. devobservation protocol) but does not lead the school's approfessional development.  The ILT analyzes student data after each benchmark as and identifies action items that need to be addressed, we need to do a better job connecting our action items. Cycles of Learning and monitoring how well those action been implemented.	to grade- deloping peer oproach to ssessment However, s to our
Monitoring and adjusting		>	2
Data for district assessments is occasionally analyzed at the school level, typically when new reports are made available. Analysis may lead to instructional practice.	The school has a systematic approach to analyzing data relative to the school's theory of action on an ongoing basis—at the school level, department/grade level, and classroom level—in order to make adjustments to their focus and to target support for particular teachers and students.	ISAT, Scantron, DIBELS/IDEL data is analyzed at the class school level. However, the extent that data analysis in classroom instruction varies throughout the building. Make our data analysis more systematic and provide stargeted support to teachers.	fluences We need to

Date Stamp November 22, 2012



### School Effectiveness Framework

Instructions: Evaluate your school from 1-4 on each of the Effective Practices of the School Effectiveness Framework in the drop down box under "Evaluation". Cite evidence from observations, any available data, surveys, etc. NOTE: 2= Typical School and 4 = Effective School TIP: When entering text, press Alt + Enter to start a new paragraph.

	Typical School	Effective School	<b>Evidence Evalua</b>	ation
	Curriculum		> 2	
IIVIENDIOIN A. COLD IIIDU MCCIOII	<ul> <li>Curricular pacing/scope and sequence is most often determined by the pacing set forth in instructional materials or by an individual teacher.</li> <li>Each teacher develops his/her own units of instruction or follows what is suggested by the pacing provided in instructional materials.</li> <li>Text used for instruction exposes some students to grade-appropriate complexity and is heavily focused on fiction.</li> <li>Short- and long-term plans do not consistently differentiate by learner need.</li> </ul>	and sequence that maps out what Common Core or other	We have a school-wide literacy calendar that maps out what teachers should teach related to the Illinois Learning Standards unpacking the Common Core State Standards we have begun to process of revising our literacy calendar to map out what teach should teach related to the Common Core State Standards.  In the past, differentiated instruction to meet learner needs we implemented inconsistently. Matching instruction to meet the different needs of learners was one of our strategic levers in or 2011-2012 Theory of Action. Therefore, we have begun a systematic process of implementing differentiated instruction through guided reading and small group instruction.	the ners as as ur
)	Instructional materials		> 2	
	<ul> <li>Core instructional materials vary between teachers of the same grade/course or are focused mainly on a single textbook with little exposure to standards-aligned supplemental materials.</li> <li>Instructional materials support a general curriculum with little differentiation for student learning need.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Each grade level or course team has a set of instructional materials that are aligned with standards.</li> <li>Instructional materials are supportive of students with disabilities as well as varying language proficiency levels of ELLs (including native language and bilingual supports).</li> </ul>	All teachers at the same grade level have the same core instructional materials. core instructional materials are available in both English and Spanish. Additional teachers have access to standards-aligned supplemental leveled texts in both Enand Spanish (fiction and non-fiction).  Special education teachers also have the core instructional materials for their gralevel and have access to the supplemental leveled texts.  How the leveled texts are used varies by classroom and grade level.	lly, all glish

Reading Materials Survey: In addition to evaluating your school in this area, we encourage schools to begin inventorying grade level literacy materials by completing the survey at <a href="https://www.surveymonkey.com/s/materialsurvey">www.surveymonkey.com/s/materialsurvey</a>. While this is not a comprehensive inventory of your school's instructional materials, this will help you identify the additional literacy materials needed to help implement the Common Core State Standards in the upcoming school year.



# **School Effectiveness Framework**

Typical School	Effective School	Evidence Evaluatio
Assessment		2
<ul> <li>School wide data is available to the ILT. Teacher team or classroom data is not always available when teachers need it—or teachers inconsistently bring it to teacher team meetings.</li> <li>Each grade level or course team administers the required district assessments but there may be gaps in the kind of assessment tools available to them.</li> <li>Assessments are focused on a particular form of assessment and may not adequately provide a complete picture of student learning.</li> <li>Most assessments are designed to be identical for all students, without accommodation for learner need.</li> </ul>	organized and available to all who need it immediately after each assessment.  • Each grade level or course team uses a comprehensive set of assessments – screening, diagnostic, benchmark, formative, and summative – to monitor student learning on a frequent basis.  • Assessment methods (e.g., student work, selected response, constructed response, performance task) are	School wide data is available to the ILT. Classroom data is available to teachers but is not always shared in a systematic way throughouthe building.  The majority of our assessments are focused on a particular form and may not adequately provide a complete picture of student learning. Modest accommodations and modifications are made for students with disabilities and ELLs. How well assessments are matched, with the standards being assessed, varies throughout the building.





# **School Effectiveness Framework**

Typical School	Effective School	<b>Evidence Evaluation</b>
Instruction		> 2
<ul> <li>Communication of the learning objective is inconsistent or lesson objectives do not consistently align to standards.</li> <li>Questioning is more heavily aimed at assessing basic student understanding and comprehension.</li> <li>Sequencing of lessons in most classes is primarily driven by the pacing suggested in instructional materials.</li> <li>Instruction is most often delivered whole-group with few opportunities for scaffolding learning or the level of rigor is not consistently high.</li> <li>Formative assessment during instruction is used occasionally or inconsistently between teachers.</li> </ul>	techniques that promote student thinking and understanding.  • Each teacher purposefully sequences and aligns standards-based objectives to build towards deep understanding and mastery of the standards.	Learning Walk Data has shown that most instructional activities our students are asked to perform fall between Level 0 and Level 2 on the Depth of Knowledge chart. This indicates that we need to raise the level of instructional rigor.  Instruction is often delivered whole-group. However, as a result of implementing our Theory of Action and Learning Cycles, 100% of our teachers use small group differentiated instruction for part of the day.



#### **School Effectiveness Framework**

Instructions: Evaluate your school from 1-4 on each of the Effective Practices of the School Effectiveness Framework in the drop down box under "Evaluation". Cite evidence from observations, any available data, surveys, etc. NOTE: 2= Typical School and 4 = Effective School TIP: When entering text, press Alt + Enter to start a new paragraph.

# Typical School Effective School Evidence Evaluation

#### Intervention

- Decision-making about how to determine which students are in need of intervention, what interventions they receive and how to determine the success of interventions is not regularly monitored. The intervention options are limited (sometimes one-size-fits-all), making it difficult to find a targeted solution to address a particular student's needs. Intervention monitoring and adjustments are left to teacher discretion without school-wide systems.
  - The school has a systematic approach to administering screening assessments to identify students in need of academic intervention.
- success of interventions is not regularly monitored.

   The school has a systematic approach to administering diagnostic assessments to identify particular skills gaps.
  - Interventions at the elementary level include in-class, small group instruction, push-in support provided by specialists, one on one support and additional supports outside of the classroom.
  - Interventions at the secondary school level include small group instruction, double blocks in literacy and mathematics, push-in support provided by specialists, one on one support and additional supports outside of the classroom
  - Interventions are closely monitored at the ILT, teacher team and individual teacher level so that adjustments can be made at least every 6 weeks.

Decision-making related to interventions does not happen at school level. Individual teachers are responsible for implementing and monitoring interventions. Intervention options are often limited making it difficult to find a targeted solution for particular students' needs.

Implementing Achieve 3000 in grades 3-8 provides teachers with a versatile resource for fluency and comprehension interventions. Additionally, this year we have piloted using the 95% Group Toolkits with a small group of students in 4th and 5th grades.

#### Whole staff professional development

2

- Whole staff professional development occurs regularly but is not tightly aligned to the school's priorities.
  - Quality, effectiveness or relevance of professional development is not monitored.
- The school has a year-long, focused plan for whole staff professional development aligned to school-wide priorities and growth goals.
- The school has a method for continually monitoring the effectiveness of all professional development (including coaching and teacher collaboration).
- School-wide structures ensure that professional development is ongoing, job-embedded and relevant to teachers.

Our Theory of Action has given us a focus for professional development activities. Our Learning Cycles have enabled us to align professional development to our school-wide priority of differentiating instruction.

We need to improve upon creating a logical, cohesive professional development plan that uses our professional development days, staff meetings, cluster level meetings and grade level meetings effectively.

We need to develop a system for monitoring the effectiveness of our professional development as it relates to Finkl meeting its student achievement goals.

**Professional Lea** 



#### **School Effectiveness Framework**

Instructions: Evaluate your school from 1-4 on each of the Effective Practices of the School Effectiveness Framework in the drop down box under "Evaluation". Cite evidence from observations, any available data, surveys, etc. NOTE: 2= Typical School and 4 = Effective School TIP: When entering text, press Alt + Enter to start a new paragraph.

#### **Typical School Effective School Evidence Evaluation** Grade-level and/or course teams Teachers meet regularly but it is focused on a mix Teachers collaborate in regular cycles: quarterly for long-Teachers meet regularly. Teams include general education, special **DIMENSIO** of activities—planning, professional development, term unit planning, weekly to analyze formative education, bilingual teachers and an ILT member. and data analysis—that may change from week to assessment data and plan weekly instruction. week. Teachers and specialists meet approximately every six We do not have protocols or processes in place for team Teachers do not have a regular opportunity to weeks to discuss progress-monitoring data for students collaboration. We struggle with managing multiple priorities (i.e. discuss progress monitoring data to track receiving intervention. data analysis, learning cycle work, lesson planning). effectiveness of student intervention. • Teacher teams share ownership for results in student Ownership for student learning results lies learning. primarily with individual teachers. Teams are inclusive of general education, special Planning typically takes place with general education, bilingual teachers and other specialists. education teachers only. Special education, bilingual • Teams are supported by an ILT member, team leader, or or other specialists typically plan and meet "expert", as appropriate. separately or only join the group occasionally. • Teachers have protocols or processes in place for team • There are meeting agendas, but no clear protocols collaboration. or norms for discussion. 2 Instructional coaching Coaching typically takes place through informal • Every school has a coaching plan that identifies teacher Our Learning Cycles, cluster level team meetings, and peer associations or is only focused on a smaller group of needs, who provides the coaching, and how frequently. observations have begun to systematize our professional teachers. • New teachers are provided with effective induction development activities. We need to continue to work with our • Formal support for new teachers comes from Instructional Support Leaders improve upon our process and support. district-sponsored induction. • Teachers have individual professional development plans develop a more cohesive and comprehensive professional Professional development decisions are not tailored to their needs. development plan. systematized and left to teacher initiative/discretion. • Teachers consistently receive quality feedback that • Teachers occasionally receive quality feedback to supports their individual growth. We do not have a coaching plan that identifies teacher needs. Most Peer coaching and cross classroom visitation is also used coaching takes place informally. Individual teachers seek out other support individual growth. Peer observation and cross-classroom visitation as a form of coaching. teachers or specialists for coaching in particular areas with which happens occasionally, but not as an integral part of they want assistance. the school's plan for professional learning.



### School Effectiveness Framework

Typical School	Effective School	Evidence	Evaluation	
High expectations & College-going culture		>	2	
standards, or expectations are only reinforced for some students.	students to aspire to college and career-ready standards.	Our learning walk data indicates that most instructions our students are asked to perform fall between Level (on the Depth of Knowledge chart. This data indicates students are not be prepared for college and career-restandards. Our work with the Depth of Knowledge Level begun the process of instilling school expectations that are engaged in rigorous learning activities that support career-ready standards.	D and Level : that our eady vels has t all student	
Relationships		>	3	
	<ul> <li>All students have an adult advocate who cares about them deeply and supports them in achieving their goals</li> <li>Patterns of interactions, both between adults and students and among students, are respectful, with appropriate, fair responses to disrespectful behavior</li> <li>Students with disabilities are engaged in the school community, including both physical and social integration.</li> <li>Students' classroom experiences demonstrate value of home language and culture.</li> </ul>	99% of our students with disabilities are engaged in the community both physically and socially for the majorit school day. The other 1% of our students with disabilitien engaged in the school community for at least part of the Student surveys indicate that all students have at least member, and often times up to 3 staff members, that comfortable talking with when the student has an issu assistance in resolving a problem.	y of their ties are he school da t 1 staff they are	
Behavior& Safety		>	3	
school wide norms.  • School environment occasionally leads to situations un-conducive to learning.	<ul> <li>The school has a common, consistent school-wide approach to student discipline and tiered approach to behavioral intervention that recognizes and builds on positive behavior.</li> <li>Staff establishes and maintains a safe, welcoming school environment.</li> </ul>	Finkl has a common school-wide approach to discipline that requires all teachers to post their classroom expectations all with consequences and rewards related to those expectation Teachers and administration have a tiered approach to behavioral interventions in and out of the classroom.		



# **School Effectiveness Framework**

Typical School	Effective School	Evidence	Evaluation
Expectations		>	3
·	<ul> <li>Principal provides clear information for families on school performance and accurately explains this information so that families understand its relevance to their children as well as the plan for improvement.</li> <li>Teachers provide clear information for families on what students are expected to achieve in a given grade level or course and examples of what meeting the standards looks like.</li> <li>Schools proactively provide information regarding school choices to families looking to relocate or to students in transition grades.</li> </ul>	At the beginning of each year the principal meets with NCLB, and Bilingual parent committees to explain the so card and its relevance to their children.  This year the principal held follow up meetings to discurt theory of Action and Learning Cycle work.  Every summer the principal meets individually with 7th grade students and their parents to discuss their grades standardized assessment data, and high school options.  This year we held parent-teacher conferences for the second as well as the first and third report cards.	chool reposits our and 8th s,
<ul> <li>Communication to families is typically conducted only during report card pick-up and in cases of behavior/academic concerns.</li> </ul>	• Teachers and other school staff engage in ongoing, two- way communication with families so that they know how their child is doing relative to grade-level expectations and how the families can support their child's learning at home, but also so that school staff can learn from the families about their child's strengths and needs.	Teachers send home weekly folders that contain studen communication with regards to student progress on classignments, homework, and behavior.	
Bonding		>	2
<ul> <li>The school has a business-like atmosphere.</li> <li>School staff provides occasional opportunities for families and community members to participate in authentic and engaging activities in the school community like student performances, exhibitions, literacy or math events, etc.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The school establishes and non-threatening, welcoming environment.</li> <li>The principal leads the work to empower and motivate families and community to become engaged.</li> <li>School staff provides frequent opportunities for families and community members to participate in authentic and engaging activities in the school community like student performances, exhibitions, literacy or math events, etc.</li> </ul>	Parent attendance at our Bilingual and NCLB parent me averages between 10-15 parents every meeting.	eetings





# **School Effectiveness Framework**

	Typical School	Effective School	Evidence	Evaluation
S	Specialized support		>	2
	School provides required services to students vithin the school building/typical school hours.	School staff conducts intensive outreach to families in need of specialized support through home visits and collaboration with social services agencies.	School staff regularly contacts parents through notes he calls, and meeting with parents before and after school staff performs 1-2 home visits per year. Most school staff counselor to collaborate with social service agencies	l. School taff rely on
C	College & Career Exploration and election		>	2
	Information about college or career choices is provided.	The school provides early and ongoing exposure to experiences and information necessary to make informed decisions when selecting a college or career that connects to academic preparation and future aspirations.	Through our partnership with DePaul some students hexposure to experiences and information regarding cocareers. However, this program serves a small numbe and is not implemented every year.	llege and
A	Academic Planning		>	2
fc ex •	or some students. Information and opportunities to	<ul> <li>The school provides support for student planning, preparation, participation, and performance in their college and career aspirations and goals through a rigorous academic program and access to information and opportunities.</li> <li>(HS only) The school regularly evaluates rigorous coursetaking and performance patterns (e.g., AP) and removes barriers to access.</li> </ul>	Suport for college and career planning is provided to a students via high school selection. Our counselor and teachers have a structured process where multiple hig give presentations to our 8th grade students in order to make informed decisions around high school selection	8th grade h schools o help then
E	Inrichment & Extracurricular Engagement		>	2
sc		<ul> <li>The school ensures equitable exposure to a wide range of extracurricular and enrichment opportunities that build leadership, nurture talents and interests, and increase</li> </ul>	Extracurricular activities exist but are primarily target of grade students. Students who ride the bus are usually participate in extracurricular activities because of trans	unable to



# **School Effectiveness Framework**

Typical School	Effective School	Evidence	Evaluation
College & Career Assessments	<del></del>	>	2
Students do not participate in college and career ready assessments	The school promotes preparation, participation, and performance in college and career assessments.	8th grade students participate in EXPLORE testing.	
College & Career Admissions and Affordability		>	
	The school provides students and families with comprehensive information about college options and costs (HS only) The school ensures that students and families have an early and ongoing understanding of the college and career application and admission processes, including information on financial aid and scholarship eligibility.	N/A	
Transitions		>	3
	<ul> <li>The school works to ensure effective transitions—into Kindergarten, at each "benchmark" grade, and from 8th to 9th.</li> <li>(HS only) The school connects students to school and community resources to help them overcome barriers and ensure the successful transition from high school to college.</li> </ul>	We work to ensure effective transitions into Kindergar benchmark grades. Our counselor and 8th grade teach 8th grade students with a variety of information regar school options. Our team works one-on-one with students with their high school applications and selection	hers provide ding high Ients to assist



# **School Effectiveness Framework**

Typical School	Effective School	Evidence Evaluat
Use of Discretionary Resources		> 3
<ul> <li>School discretionary funding is inconsistently aligned to identified needs and priorities.</li> <li>Outside funding or community partnerships are primarily limited to opportunities that present themselves to the school.</li> <li>Funding of non-priority initiatives is common throughout the year.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>School allocates discretionary spending to align with identified needs and strategic priorities.</li> <li>School actively identifies and pursues opportunities to for outside funding or community partnerships to help meet student and staff needs.</li> <li>School maintains focus on use of resources for the student achievement growth necessary for every student to graduate college and career ready.</li> </ul>	In the past most of our discretionary funding has been used to buy teacher position reduce class size. We have partnered with CBRE for the past three years. CBRE provides us with an additional \$15,000 - \$20,000 in funding yearly.  Our 2012-2013 budget supports our strategic priorities by funding instructional bundles and planning time for teachers that will enable them to develop unit plansalign with the CCSS. Additionally, our 2012-2013 budget funds a Bilingual Lead Co to assist with raising student achievement among our ELL and transition students. Lastly, our 2012-2013 budget funds additional special teachers (music & library) to support implementation of the full school day.
Building a Team		
<ul> <li>Hiring is conducted after a vacancy or expected vacancy is identified.</li> <li>All or nearly all applicants have little to no prior connection to the school.</li> <li>Interviews typically consist of an interview with the principal or a team from the school, but there are no opportunities to demonstrate knowledge or skill in the classroom.</li> <li>Grade/course teams are not intentionally designed.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Hiring is conducted after an assessment of student need, staff capacity and scheduling priorities.</li> <li>School actively works to build a pool of potential staff members through internships and part-time work.</li> <li>A multistep interview process includes a protocol for questioning and classroom lesson demonstrations to assess candidate expertise, philosophy and commitment.</li> <li>Grade/course teams are assembled to include the needed combination of knowledge and expertise.</li> </ul>	Hiring is conducted once an expected vacancy is identified. Applicants are interviewed using a protocol. Grade level teams assembled according to their knowledge and expertise.
Use of Time		> <u>3</u>
<ul> <li>School schedule is designed based on number of minutes per subject or course.</li> <li>Teacher collaboration time is limited or occurs only before/after school.</li> <li>Intervention for struggling students happens at the discretion/initiative of individual teachers, during core courses.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>School designs a "right fit" schedule based on student needs and school-wide growth goals.</li> <li>The school schedule allows for regular, meaningful collaboration in teacher teams.</li> <li>Struggling students receive structured intervention in dedicated blocks.</li> </ul>	We have worked closely with our network to design a "right fit" schedule for students that maximizes their learning time and all for regular teacher collaboration.





### Mission & Strategic Priorities

Instructions: Write in your Mission Statement. Using your key levers from the Theory of Action, develop 3 - 5 strategic priorities you will focus on over the next two years. Provide a Rationale using these guiding questions: What data (student achievement, school effectiveness framework, etc.) did you use to determine the priority? How does this priority impact instruction? How does this priority help you to achieve your goals? Tip: When entering text, press Alt+Enter to start a new paragraph.

#### **Mission Statement**

It is our mission to prepare our students to become literate, critical thinkers that are prepared for success in high school, college, and careers. This will be accomplished by implementing a challenging curriculum aligned to the common core standards. We will foster academic growth and achievement using best instructional practices.

Strate	egic Priorities	
#	Priority Description: Write in the description of your priority.	Rationale: Write in your rationale (see instructions for guiding questions).
1	Teachers will use guided reading and small group instruction to meet the different needs of learners in a given classroom.	Our SEF indicates the extent that data analysis influences classroom instruction varies throughout the building. Furthermore our Learning Walk data indicates that differentiated instruction, in the form of guided reading or small group instruction, is implemented throughout the building but at varying levels. Scantron data indicates that 59% of 3rd - 5th graders and 61% of 6th - 8th graders are making their growth targets in Reading. Scantron data indicates that 52% of 3rd - 5th graders and 57% of 6th - 8th graders are making their growth targets in Math. By matching instruction to meet the different needs of learners, teachers will increase the percent of students making their growth targets.
2	Teachers will develop rigorous performance assessments and curricula that align with the Common Core State Standards.	Learning Walk data has shown that most instructional activities our students are asked to perform fall between Level 0 and Level 2 on the Depth of Knowledge chart. Scantron data indicates that 32% of 3rd - 5th graders and 42% of 6th - 8th graders are at or above grade level in Reading. Scantron data indicates that 35% of 3rd - 5th graders and 63% of 6th - 8th graders are at or above grade level in Math. By being more thoughtful in planning our instructional activities we can increase the percent of students performing at grade level.
3	Teachers will calibrate their use of the Depth of Knowledge Chart to consistently and objectively judge student performance and product.	Our peer observation data indicates that as a staff we need to develop a common understanding of the levels of the Depth of Knowledge Chart. By creating a common understanding of the depth of student thinking required for each DOK level we will be able to raise the level of rigor school-wide.
4	Optional	
5	Optional	





# Strategic Priority 1

**Instructions:** Develop milestones for each strategic priority that you will implement. Milestones are significant steps that a school must accomplish in the implementation of the strategic priority. Milestones are SMART (Specific, Measurable, Attainable, Realistic, and Time-bound). Indicate the category and group of students to which the milestone applies, the responsible party and the timeline. You will update the status and next steps throughout the year as part of your continuous improvement cycle.

Strategic Priority Description	Rationale
Teachers will use guided reading and small group instruction to meet the different needs of learners in a given	Our SEF indicates the extent that data analysis influences classroom instruction varies throughout the building.
classroom.	Furthermore our Learning Walk data indicates that differentiated instruction, in the form of guided reading or
	small group instruction, is implemented throughout the building but at varying levels. Scantron data indicates
	that 59% of 3rd - 5th graders and 61% of 6th - 8th graders are making their growth targets in Reading. Scantron

Action Plan Monitoring

Milestones	Category	Target Group	Responsible Party	Start	Completed	Status	Comments & Next Steps
LLT & Teacher Leaders deliver professional development on the six components of a guided reading lesson	ILT/ Teacher Teams	All	LLT / Teacher Leaders	Quarter 1	Quarter 1		
Teacher leaders deliver professional development using exemplar guided reading lessons	ILT/ Teacher Teams	All	LLT / Teacher Leaders	Quarter 1	Quarter 1		
Teachers attend Network Pedagogy Classes on Guided Reading	Professional Development	All	Teacher Teams	On-going	On-going		
Conduct teacher observations in all grade-levels for each of the six components of a guided reading lesson.	Instruction	All	Teacher Teams / Administration	On-going	On-going		
Conduct an audit of leveled books in classrooms and bookroom.	Instructional Materials	All	LLT / Teacher Teams	Quarter 2	Quarter 4		
Purchase additional leveled books based on audit results	Instructional Materials	All	LLT / Teacher Teams	Quarter 2	Quarter 4		
Develop and implement a uniform system in grades 3-8 that accurately matches students to the Fountas & Pinnell leveling system	Instruction	All	ILT	Quarter 1	Quarter 1		
Teacher teams level classroom libraries throughout the building.	Instructional Materials	All	Teacher Teams	Quarter 3	Quarter 4		





Strategic Priority 1			





# Strategic Priority 2

Instructions: Develop milestones for each strategic priority that you will implement. Milestones are significant steps that a school must accomplish in the implementation of the strategic priority. Milestones are SMART (Specific, Measurable, Attainable, Realistic, and Time-bound). Indicate the category and group of students to which the milestone applies, the responsible party and the timeline. You will update the status and next steps throughout the year as part of your continuous improvement cycle.

Strategic Priority Description	Rationale
Teachers will develop rigorous performance assessments and curricula that align with the Common Core State	Learning Walk data has shown that most instructional activities our students are asked to perform fall between
Standards.	Level 0 and Level 2 on the Depth of Knowledge chart. Scantron data indicates that 32% of 3rd - 5th graders and
	42% of 6th - 8th graders are at or above grade level in Reading. Scantron data indicates that 35% of 3rd - 5th
	graders and 63% of 6th - 8th graders are at or above grade level in Math. By being more thoughtful in planning

Action Plan Monitoring

Milestones	Category	Target Group	Responsible Party	Start	Completed	Status	Comments & Next Steps
Teacher Leaders attend PLVN Summer Institute	Professional Development	All	Teacher Leaders	Summer 2012	Summer 2012		
Teacher Leaders plan professional development on unit development to each grade level	Professional Development	All	Teacher Leaders / LLT	Summer 2012	Summer 2012		
Teacher Leaders deliver professional development on unit development to each grade level	ILT/ Teacher Teams	All	Teacher Leaders / LLT	Summer 2012	Summer 2012		
Grade-level teams develop performance assessments and units	Instruction	All	Teacher Teams	Summer 2012	Summer 2012		
ILT provides feedback on grade-level performance assessments and units	ILT/ Teacher Teams	All	ILT	Quarter 1	Quarter 1		
Grade-level teams conduct audit of existing texts aligned to CCSS in classrooms and bookroom	Instructional Materials	All	Teacher Teams	Summer 2012	On-going		
Reorganize leveled bookroom according to Literature and Information text. Organize informational	Instructional Materials	All	Bookroom Committee	Summer 2012	On-going		
ILT develops protocol for staff to provide feedback to grade-level teams	Professional Development	All	ILT	Quarter 1	Quarter 1		
Grade-level teams present performance assessments and units to staff	Professional Development	All	Teacher Teams	Quarter 1	Quarter 1		
BLT delivers professional development on instructional strategies and accommodations for ELLS	Professional Development	English Language Learners	BLT	Quarter 1	On-going		
SPED teachers deliver professional development on accommodations / modifications for SPED students	Professional Development	Students With Disabilities	Teacher Teams	Quarter 1	On-going		





Strategic Priority 2			





# Strategic Priority 3

Instructions: Develop milestones for each strategic priority that you will implement. Milestones are significant steps that a school must accomplish in the implementation of the strategic priority. Milestones are SMART (Specific, Measurable, Attainable, Realistic, and Time-bound). Indicate the category and group of students to which the milestone applies, the responsible party and the timeline. You will update the status and next steps throughout the year as part of your continuous improvement cycle.

	Strategic Priority Description
ntly and objectively judge student	
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	

Action Plan Monitoring

Milestones	Category	Target Group	Responsible Party	Start	Completed	Status	Comments & Next Steps
Select grade-level lessons for professional discussions	ILT/ Teacher Teams	All	LLT / Teacher Teams	Quarter 1	Quarter 1		
Analyze lessons using the DOK until grade-level teams have rater reliability	ILT/ Teacher Teams	All	LLT / Teacher Teams	Quarter 1	Quarter 1		
Grade-level teams analyze peer lessons using Critical Friend protocol in order to determine DOK level	ILT/ Teacher Teams	All	LLT / Teacher Teams	Quarter 2	On-going		
Grade-level teams brainstorm ways to modify lessons in order to increase the DOK level	ILT/ Teacher Teams	All	LLT / Teacher Teams	On-going	On-going		





Strategic Priority 3				





# Strategic Priority 4

Instructions: Develop milestones for each strategic priority that you will implement. Milestones are significant steps that a school must accomplish in the implementation of the strategic priority. Milestones are SMART (Specific, Measurable, Attainable, Realistic, and Time-bound). Indicate the category and group of students to which the milestone applies, the responsible party and the timeline. You will update the status and next steps throughout the year as part of your continuous improvement cycle.

Strategic Priority Description	Rationale

Action Plan Monitoring

Milestones	Category	Target Group	Responsible Party	Start	Completed	Status	Comments & Next Steps





# Strategic Priority 5

Instructions: Develop milestones for each strategic priority that you will implement. Milestones are significant steps that a school must accomplish in the implementation of the strategic priority. Milestones are SMART (Specific, Measurable, Attainable, Realistic, and Time-bound). Indicate the category and group of students to which the milestone applies, the responsible party and the timeline. You will update the status and next steps throughout the year as part of your continuous improvement cycle.

Strategic Priority Description	Rationale

Action Plan Monitoring

Milestones	Category	Target Group	Responsible Party	Start	Completed	Status	Comments & Next Steps